## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:	Reg. No: 201236179 Issue No.: 2006
	Case No: Hearing Date: July 5, 2012 Ingham County DHS
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin S	cully
HEARING	DECISION
and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's red telephone hearing was held on Thursday <u>Participants on be</u> half of Claimant	nistrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 quest for a hearing. After due notice, a y, July 5, 2012, from Lansing, Michigan. included pehalf of Department of Human Services
<u>ISS</u>	<u>SUE</u>
	fication requirements, did the Department close Claimant's case  reduce Claimant's
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?
<u>FINDINGS</u>	OF FACT
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon evidence on the whole record, including test	on the competent, material, and substantial imony of witnesses, finds as material fact:
<ol> <li>Claimant ☑ applied for ☐ w</li> <li>☐CDC.</li> </ol>	ras receiving: □FIP □FAP ☑MA □SDA
2. Claimant ⊠ was ☐ was r (DHS-3503).	not provided with a Verification Checklist
<ol> <li>Claimant was required August 23, 2011.</li> </ol>	to submit requested verification by

4.	On October 10, 2012, the Department 🖂 denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.	
5.	On October 10, 2012, the Department sent notice of the $\boxtimes$ denial of Claimant's application. $\square$ closure of Claimant's case. $\square$ reduction of Claimant's benefits.	
6.	On January 20, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the $\boxtimes$ denial. $\square$ closure. $\square$ reduction.	
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
	policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges nual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).	
Responsibilit 42 USC 601, Agency) adm through Rule	Ily Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal y and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ninisters FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 e 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ober 1, 1996.	
program] is implemented Regulations	d Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ninisters FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 400.3015.	
Security Act The Departm	ical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). nent (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.	
for disabled as the Famil	e Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known y Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL eq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.	
and XX of the standard section 1990, and the the program and 99. The standard section 1990 is a sectin	Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. It is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 to Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL d 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.	

Date Mailed: <u>July 11, 2012</u>

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly closed Claimant's case. denied Claimant's application. reduced Claimant's benefits.		
DECISION AND ORDER		
	trative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions d for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department operly.	
<b>.</b>	the Department's decision is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ <b>REVERSED</b> for the ed on the record.	
	PARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF DF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:	
1.	Initiate a determination of the Claimant's eligibility for Medical Assistance (MA) based on the Claimant's application for benefits submitted on July 28, 2011.	
2.	Provide the Claimant with written notification of the Department's revised eligibility determination.	
3.	Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she may be eligible to receive, if any.	
	/s/ Kevin Scully	
	Administrative Law Judge	
	For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services	
Date Signed	: July 5, 2012	

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

## 201236179/KS

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## KS/tb

CC: