STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-36138 Issue No.: 2014/2026

Case No.:

June 25, 2012 Hearing Date: County: Wayne (19)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 25, 2012, fr om Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the claimant. Participants on be half of the Dep artment of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Due to excess income, did the Department p ☐ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claiman	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t evidence on the whole record, finds as mater	he competent, material, and substantial rial fact:
1. Cla imant applied for benefits for:	☑ received benefits for:
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).

 On April 1, 2012, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess income. 	
3. On February 15, 2012, the Department sent ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.	
4. On February 22, 2012, Cla imant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request protesting the	,
\square denial of the application. \square closure of the case. \boxtimes reduction of benefits.	
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).	ne
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .	
The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Person Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-1942 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.31 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.	93, e 101
The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (Faprogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federa Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.	ıl c e
The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFF The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.	R).
☐ The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistar for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly knowns the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.	wn
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establishhed by Titles IVA, IN and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant	

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fe deral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adult and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the claim ant had been an MA recipient under MA AD Care (ALMB). The claimant's RSDI income increased and the department closed the claimant's ALMB and opened a deductible case for the claimant. On April 1, 2012, the department increased the claimant's deductible from \$1355.00 per month to \$1,420 per month. At the hearing, the claimant stated that he was also pay ing for health insurance; the department admitted that it did not have k nowledge or documenta tion of such paym ents. The claimant is urged to provide s uch documen tation to the department along with other medical bills he has paid.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative La w Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department properly improperly
 ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☑ did act properly ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
Michael J. Bennane Administrative Law Judge

Date Signed: July 10, 2012

Date Mailed: July 10, 2012

for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services **NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/cl

