## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES



ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9, MCL 400.37 and Claimant's request for a hearing . After due notice, a telepho ne hearing was held on April 30, 2012 at Det roit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant were the Claimant. Participants on behalf of t he Department of Human Services (Department) were Eligibility Specialist.

## **ISSUE**

Did the Department correctly determine that Claimant is not disabled for purposes of the Medical Assistance (MA or Medicaid) program?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on competent, material and substantial evidence in the record and on the entire record as a whole, finds as material fact:

- 1. On December 22, 2011 Claimant filed an application for Medicaid benefits. The application also requested MA retroactive to September 1, 2011.
- 2. On February 8, 2012, the Depart ment sent a Notice of Case Action to Claimant, denying the application.
- 3. On February 24, 2012, Claimant filed a request for an Administrative Hearing.
- 4. Claimant, age has a high-sch ool diploma and a certificate as a from the
- 5. Claimant last worked in as a custodian. Claimant also performed relevant work as a food and beverage preparer and a clothing store manager. Claimant's

	relevant work history consists exc	
6.	Claimant has a histor y of HIV, asthma, psoriatic arthritis and joint pain. His HIV onset date is when he was diagnosed by the	
7.	Claimant was hos pitalized thr ee times as a result of HIV disorder and complications. The discharge diagnoses were HIV.	
8.	Claimant currently suffers from HIV, asthma, psoriatic arthritis and joint pain. He is receiving treatment from the	
9.	Claimant has severe limitations of his ability to stand, walk, sit, lift and carry, and push and pull. Claimant 's limitations have lasted or are expected to last twelve months or more.	
10.	Claimant's complaints and allega tions concerning his impairments and limitations, when considered in light of all objective medical ev idence, as well as the whole r ecord, reflect an indiv idual who is so impair ed as to be incapable of engaging in any substantial gainful activity on a regular and continuing basis.	
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
by Titl pursua Bridge	A was established by Title XIX of the U.S. Social Security Act and is implemented to 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers M A ant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies a re found in es Administrative Manual (BAM), Bri dges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and Reference is (RFT).	
2004 I	DA provides financial assistance for disabled persons and was establis hed by PA 344. The Department administers SDA pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in BAM, BEM and RFT.	
	he Administrative Law Judge c oncludes and determines that Claimant IS NOT BLED for the following reason (select ONE):	
	1. Claimant is engaged in substantial gainful activity.	
	OR	
	2. Claimant's impairment(s) do not meet the severity and one-year duration requirements.	

OR
3. Claimant is capable of performing previous relevant work.
OR
4. Claimant is capable of performing other work.
The Administrative Law Judge concludes that Claimant <b>IS DISABLED</b> for purposes of the MA program, for the following reason (select ONE):
1. Claimant's phys ical and/or mental im pairment(s) meet a F ederal SSI Listing of Impairment(s) or its equivalent.
State the Listing of Imp airment(s):
OR
2. Claimant is not capable of performing other work.
Additionally, Claimant is not en gaged in substantial gainful ac tivity, and it is anticipated that he will remain unemployed for at leas t one year. Therefore it is found an determined that Claimant satisfies the first eligibility requirement, which is that he not be gainfully employed for a one-ye ar period. Second, Claimant must establish that his condition is severe in that it will last for more than one year. Claimant's medical history indicates an HIV onset date of requirement for eligibility. 20 CFR 404.1520; 20 CFR 416.920.
Third, Claimant may be eligib le based solely on his medi cal condition al one, without reference to his work skills and employability. Claimant's medical tests establish he has HIV infection, in that his "viral load" test result and his "CD4" test result numbers were in the HIV positive range in and again in
Although Claimant is HIV pos itive, Claimant's medica I recor ds are ins ufficient to document the severity of his impairment, and it is found and determined that Claimant is not eligible for MA based only on his current medical status. It is therefore necessary to

consider whether Claimant is able to work , and if so, whether he can perform prior

relevant work or other work.

The fourth requirement of e ligibility is whether Claimant can perform any prior relevant work. Claimant's prior relevant work consists of food and beverage preparation and serving, retail clothing sales management, and custodial work. He also received a nurse's aide certificate from the Everest Institute, although he has not worked in his chosen field.

Claimant gave credible and unrebutted testimony that when he worked at was required to stand for 4-8 hours and was only allowed to sit down on 2 0-30 minute break times. He lifted 75 pounds once or twice a day, and frequently lifted 20-50 lbs.

Claimant gave credible and unr ebutted testimony that as a clothing store manager h e was standing 8-12 hours a day, and was able to sit down only 30 minutes to 1 hour on a break. He was required to lift a maximum of 50-100 lbs.

Claimant gave credible and unrebutted testimony that as a custodian he was standing 4-5 hours a day, and sometimes more if special events were held. He was allowed to sit for less than 20 minutes on a break. He was required to push and pull 200 lbs., by himself, and was also required to walk long distances each day. He lost this job when he was hospitalized in for two weeks.

Claimant gave credible and unr ebutted testimony that at the present time held annot perform any of his prievious jobs. First, he cannot stand for more than an hour or his joints lock up and he tumbles over. He cannot sit for more than 30 minutes. He cannot walk more than one-half mile or his joints lock up. He can barely manage the stairs, and it took him 5 minutes to descend the 2 flights of stairs in his apartment building from his apartment to the ground floor today. He experiences pain at the level of 4-8 on a 10-point scale. He can push and pull little more than 25 lbs. The pain is primarily knee and back pain.

With regard to the ac tivities of daily liv ing, Claimant has stopped driving due to vision problems. He can do his own grocery shopping, but has to limit his purchases to just those few items that he will be able to carry himself.

Claimant gave credible and unr ebutted testimony that he cannot perform his previou s jobs because he can no longer do the amount of work required on those jobs. He cannot perform the standing, walking, lifting and carrying, and push ing and pulling. He has joint pain on a daily basis. He cannot concentrate or multi-task. He has "no stamina" and gets tir ed after a 4-5 hour shift. He ex periences fatigue and has to sit down, leave work early, and suffers migraines and sporadic vision loss.

Based on this evidence, it is found and determined that Claim ant is no longer able to perform any of his prior jobs. It is all so found and determined that although he has a nurse's aide certificate, this type of work requires heavy exertion and he would not be able to perform it in spite of his educational qualifications.

Having determined that Claimant cannot perform hi s prior jobs, it is necessary to go to the fifth eligibility requirement. The Claimant must also be unable to perform other work that exists in sign ificant numbers in the national ec onomy. Regarding the fifth eligib ility requirement, it is the Department's responsibility to present proof that Claimant can do other work as described. The Department failed to present such evidence in this case. Accordingly, it is found and determined that Claimant is not capable of performing other work that exists in signific ant numbers in the national economy. Therefore, as the fifth requirement has been satisfied, Claimant is determined eligible for MA benefits in accordance with the five-step requirements set forth in the Social Security guidelines. 20 CFR 404.1520; 20 CFR 416.920.

In conclusion, based on the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law above, the Claimant is found to be	he
NOT DISABLED DISABLED	
for purposes of the MA program. The Depart ment's denial of MA benefits to Clair is	mant
AFFIRMED REVERSED.	
SDA: Although Claimant did not apply fo r SDA, he may be eligible f or benefits by virtue of this decis ion. Considering next whether Claimant is disable purposes of SDA, the indivi dual must have a physic alor mental impairment v meets federal SSI disability standards for at least 90 days. Receipt of MA benefits based upon disability or blindness (or rece ipt of SSI or RSDI benefits based up disability or blindness) automatically qualifies an individual as disabled for purpose the SDA program. Other specific financial and non-financial eligibility criteria are in BEM Item 261. Inasmuch as Claimant has been found disabled for purposes of Claimant must also be considered disabled for purposes of SDA benefits.	ed for whic h fit s or ses of found
DECISION AND ORDER	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclus of law, and for the reasons stated on the record finds that Claimant	sion s
DOES NOT MEET MEETS	
the definition of medically disabled under the Medical Assistance program as of onset date of 2008.	f the
The Department's decision is AFFIRMED.	
The Department's decision is <b>REVERSED</b> .	

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDE RED TO DO THE FOLLO WING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- Initiate processing of Claimant's December 22, 2011 application, to determine if all nonmedical eligibility criteria for MA and MA retroactive benefit s have be en met.
- 2. If all nonmedical eligibility criteria for benefits have been met and Claimant is otherwise eligible for benefits, initiate processing of MA and MA retroactive benefits to Claimant, including any supplements for lost benefits to which Claimant is entitled in accordance with policy.
- 3. If all nonmedical eligibility criteria for benefits have been met and Claimant is otherwis e eligible for benefits, initiate procedures to schedule a redetermination date for review of Claimant's continued eligibility for program benefits in June, 2013.
- 4. All steps s hall be tak en in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 4, 2012

Date Mailed: May 4, 2012

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JL/cl

