# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-35527

2012-25161 REHD/RECON

Issue No.: 3014; 3015 Case No.:

Hearing Date: May 9, 2012 County: Oakland (03)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

# **DECISION AFTER REHEARING**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9, MCL 400.37, and Mich Admin Code Rule 400.919 upon an Order Granting Rehearing of a Hearing Decis ion generated by the assigned Administrative Law Judge ( ALJ) at the conclusion of a hearing c onducted on February 15, 2012, and mailed on F ebruary 16, 2012, in the above-captioned matter. The date for a new hearing having been assigned and due notice having been provided, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan, on May 9, 2012. Participants on behalf of Claimant in cluded Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Serv ices (Department) included Manager.

### ISSUE

Did the Departm ent properly  deny Claim for:	nan t's application 🔀 close Claimant's case
<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?</li> <li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?</li> <li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?</li> <li>☐ Direct Support Services (DSS)?</li> </ul>	<ul><li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?</li><li>☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?</li><li>☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?</li></ul>

# **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Cla imant ☐ applied for benefits ⊠ received benefits for:
<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li> <li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li> <li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li> <li>☐ Direct Support Services (DSS).</li> </ul> <ul> <li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).</li> <li>☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).</li> <li>☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>On February 1, 2012, the Department         ☐ denied Claimant's application</li></ol>
3. On December 27, 2011, the Department sent ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure.
<ol> <li>On January 4, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the         ☐ denial of the application.        ☐ closure of the case.</li> </ol>
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established purs uant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
☑ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) program] is establis hed by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence Agency) administers the MA pr ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 400.105.
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10. <i>et seq</i> .

☐ The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400. 3151 through Rule 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
☐ Direct Support Services (DSS) is adminis tered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.57a, et. seq., and Mich Admin Code R 400.3603.
Additionally, at the hearing, Claimant disputed the following: (i) the addition of her landlord and friend, as a member of her FAP group and the inclusion of his income in her FAP group's income, and (ii) the Department's characterization of the towards the car she owned as her unearned income.
FAP Group Composition Persons who live together and purchase and prepare food together are members of the same FAP group. BEM 212. "Purchase and prepare food together" is meant to describe persons who customarily share food in common. BEM 212. Persons customarily share food in common if (i) they each contribute to the purchase of food, (ii) they share the preparation of food, regardless of who paid for it, or (iii) they eat from the same food supply, regardless of who paid for it. BEM 212.
In this case, Claimant conceded that she and lived in the same mobile home. The Department testified that, because it was unclear from the redetermination form Claimant completed whether she and purchased and prepared food together, it requested a fee referral investigation to c larify the situation. The Department testified that the investigating agent from the Office of Inspector General (OIG) went to the home Claimant and shared and reported that Claimant purchased and prepared food together.
At the hearing, Claim ant credi bly testified that, while she sometimes purchased s food using his funds and often prep ared his meals, she bought her own food using her funds, prepared different meals for herself, and ate at different times than Claimant als o st ated that had Al zheimer's and may not hav e understood what the agent asked him when he replied that he and Claimant purchased and prepared food together. The Department was aware from a note it h ad received from on December 19, 2011, that had Alzheimer's, making his statements not entirely re liable. Under these facts, the Department failed to establish

that Claim ant and each contribut ed to the purchas e of food they share d, shared in the preparation of food, or ate from the same food supply. Thus, the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it concluded that Claimant and purchased and prepared food together and included in Claimant's FAP group. Sinc e group, his income was also im properly in cluded in Claimant's FAP group's income. BEM 212.
\$700 Car Payment A donation to an individual by family or friends is the individual's unearned income. BEM 503. The Department counts the gross amount <i>actually</i> received by the recipient, if the individual making the donation and the recipient are not members of any common eligibility determination group. BEM 503. However, the Department excludes as income any gain or benefit in a form other than money, for example, meals, clothing, home energy, garden produce and shelter (unless provided by an employer in lieu of cash wages). BEM 500. Payment of an individual's bills by a third party directly to the supplier using the third party's money is not income to the individual unless the third party is paying the bill instead of paying money due to the individual (such as money owed for child support or owed on a loan), in which case the payment is the individual's unearned income. BEM 500.
In this case, Claimant ack nowledged that she was the title holder of a Buick Enclave with \$700 monthly car payments. She credibly testified that paid the monthly car payments directly to the finance company. In response to the December 16, 2011, Verification Checklist (VCL) the Department sent Claimant requesting documentation to show how she paid her expenses, the Department ent received a letter from December 19, 2011, in which he stated that he made all payments on the Enclave. The letter was therefore consistent with Claimant's testimony and showed that paid Claimant's car payment for her. In light of the fact that the Department presented no evidence showing that funds for the car payments were actually received by Claimant, the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it characterized the \$700 monthly car payment is as donations to Claimant and included them as unearned income in Claimant's FAP budget.
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
☐ properly denied Claimant's application ☐ improperly denied Claimant's application ☐ properly closed Claimant's case ☐ improperly closed Claimant's case for: ☐ AMP ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☐ DSS.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's 🗌 AMP 🔲 FIP 🔯 FAP 🔲 MA 🔲 SDA 🔲 CDC 🔲 DS3
decision is 🔲 AFFIRMED 🔯 REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Reinstate Claimant's FAP case as of February 1, 2012;
- 2. Reprocess Claimant's FAP redetermination in accordanc e with Department polic y and consistent with this Hearing Decis ion to exclude from Claimant's FAP group and his income from Claimant's FAP budget and to exclude the \$700 monthly car payment from Claimant's unearned income;
- 3. Issue supplements to Claimant for any FAP benefits Claimant was eligible to receive but did not from February 1, 2012, ongoing; and
- 4. Notify Claimant in writing of its decision in accordance with Department policy.

Alice C. Elkin

Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 15, 2012

Date Mailed: May 15, 2012

**NOTICE:** The law pr ovides that within 30 days of receipt of this decision, the claiman t may appeal this decision to the circuit court for the county in which he/she lives.

## ACE/cl

