STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE **DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-3509 2006 April 3, 2012 Macomb # 20
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen	G. Fahie	
HEARING	<u>DECISION</u>	
This matter is before the undersigned Adminand MCL 400.37 following Claim—ant's requelephone hearing was held on Tuesday Participants on behalf of Claim—ant included, from L&S Associates, Inc. Human Services (Department) included	uest for a hearing. Afte , Apr il 3, 2012, from La I t he claimant's author	er due notice, a ansing, Michigan. ized representative,
<u>ISS</u>	<u>UE</u>	
Due to a failure to comply with the ve rit properly ⊠ deny Claimant's application ☐ o benefits for:	fication requirements, close Claimant's case [
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	<u> </u>	assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS	OF FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon evidence on the whole record, including test		
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for □ was receiving	: □FIP □FAP ⊠MA	□SDA □CDC.
 Claimant and her au thorized representa Medical Appointment Confirmation Notice Tuesday, August 2, 2011 at 2:00 p.m. De 	e on July 18, 2011 for	

3.	The Claimant was a no call/ no show for her appointment.
4.	On August 24, 2011, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to report the loss of her baby to the department caseworker.
5.	On August 24, 2011, the Department sent notice of the ☐ denial of Claimant's application. ☐ closure of Claimant's case. ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits.
6.	On September 30, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 rough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program fective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independ ence lency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 rough Rule 400.3015.
Se Th	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the Aprogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known the F amily Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

2012-3509/CGF

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Chall Care and Developm ent Block Grant of		
1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adult and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.		
Additionally, the claimant failed to attend her internist exam. L&S did send a fax informing the department caseworker that claimant was going to miss her appointment because her niece could no longer provide transportation, but it was sent at 5:10 p.m., which was after the scheduled appointment at 2:00 p.m on August 2, 2011.		
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department ☐ improperly		
 ☐ closed Claimant's case. ☐ denied Claimant's application. ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits. 		
DECISION AND ORDER		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did not act properly. ☐ did not act properly.		
Accordingly, the Depar $$ tment's decision is $$ $$ $$ AFFIRMED $$ $$ $$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.		
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:		
<u>/s/</u>		
Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge		
For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services		
Date Signed: April 9, 2012		
Date Mailed: April 9, 2012		

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the he aring decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/ds

