STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEDARTMENT OF HIMAN SERVICES

DEFARTMENT OF HOMA	IN SERVICES	
IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-34922 2011 June 20, 2012 Oakland (63-02
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert J. Chav	ez	
HEARING DECIS	SION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on June 20, 2012, frobehalf of Claimant included of the Department of Human Services (Department	for a hearing. om Detroit, Michig . P	After due notice, a
ISSUE		
Did the Department properly \boxtimes deny Claimant's for:	application	ose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF F	<u>ACT</u>	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material fac	•	rial, and substantial
Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ received be	enefits for:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). ☐	-	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).

2. This application was delivered on February 13, 2012.		
This application was for retroactive MA, and no prior full application had been submitted.		
 On February 13, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the		
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).		
The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, <i>et seq.</i> The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.		
The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.		
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.		
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .		
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.		
The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98		

and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, while claimant submitted a retroactive MA-P application, no full application had been submitted. There is no evidence in the file that such an application was submitted, and no evidence could be found regarding a prior application.

Claimant admitted that he was confused over what exactly he had to submit and, therefore, submitted a retroactive MA application.

Therefore, while the Department acted properly in not processing an application that had not been received, the Department should have assisted Claimant when he submitted a retroactive application in filing the correct forms. Claimant, while not having an application in for November 2011, as he claimed, at the very least attempted to apply in February 2012. By failing to help Claimant fill out the correct forms in February 2012, the Department prevented Claimant from preserving an application date of February 13, 2012. Therefore, Claimant must be given an application for MA with a protected filing date of February 13, 2012.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department		
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ properly closed Claimant's case □ improperly closed Claimant's case		
for:		
DECISION AND ORDER		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.		
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is partially \boxtimes AFFIRMED and partially \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.		
THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF		

1. Supply Claimant an application for Medicaid and register it back to the date of February 13, 2012.

Robert J. Chavez
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 12, 2012

Date Mailed: July 12, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

RJC/pf

