## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	ιт	ш	ΞΜ	IΛ	ТΤ	D	$\mathbf{a}$	С.
ш		п	= 17	м		$\overline{}$	u	Г.

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-34880 2011 June 7, 2012 Wayne (15)						
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane								
HEARING DECISION								
This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a selephone hearing was held on June 7, 2012, from Detroit, Mi chigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the claimant's Authorized Representative (AR), Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included								
<u>ISSUE</u>								
Did the Department properly $igtimes$ register and process the cl aimant's application for $igsqcup$ close Claimant's case for:								
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ State Disability A	Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)?						
FINDINGS OF FACT								
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:								
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for benefits ⊡ received benefits for:								
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).						

- 2. The Department has no record of the claimant's application.
- 3. On February 6, 2012, Claim ant filed a hearing request, prot esting the failure of the department to register and process the claimant's Augus t 31, 2011 applic ation for MA and retroactive MA.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [form erly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
∑ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence Agency) administers the MA pr ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 400.105.
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
☐ The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 20 00 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Depart ment provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC. Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the claimant's AR offered into evidence the claimant's MA and retroactive MA applic ations, dated August 31, 2011, al ong wit h Fed-Ex documentation of their having been sent on August 31, 2011 to the department's Walled Lake District Office in Oakland County

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co stated on the record, the Administrative Law Jud					
☐ properly denied Claimant's application ☐ the Claimant's applications	improperly failed to register and proces s				
properly closed Claimant's case	mproperly closed Claimant's case				
for: 🗌 AMP 🗌 FIP 🗌 FAP 🔀 MA 🗌 SDA 🗌	CDC.				
DECISION AND ORDER					
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record ☐ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.	•				
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $[$ is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reason					
☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION A					
<ol> <li>Register and proces s t he claimant's Augus applications.</li> </ol>	st 31, 2 011, MA and retroactive MA				
	Myn				
	Michael J. Bennane				

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 25, 2012

Date Mailed: June 25, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or the mailing date of this Dec

reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## MJB/cl

