STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-34628 Issue Nos.: 2019, 3002 Case No.: March 22, 2012 Hearing Date: County: Macomb (50-12)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 22, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and interpreter. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Due to excess income, did the Department properly and deny the Claimant's application Close Claimant's case reduce Claimant's benefits for:

imes	

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant

applied for benefits for: X received benefits for:

ĺ	$\mathbf{\nabla}$
1	\sim

Family Independence Program (FIP).

- Food Assistance Program (FAP). Medical Assistance (MA).
- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). State Disability Assistance (SDA).
- Child Development and Care (CDC).

2012-34628/JL

- 2. On January 1, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application
 Closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess income.
- On December 19, 2011, the Department sent
 Claimant
 Claimant is Authorized Representative (AR)
 Denial.
 Denial.
 Denial.
 Denial.
- 4. On January 8, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the

denial of the application.	closure of the case.	\boxtimes reduction of benefits.
----------------------------	----------------------	------------------------------------

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

🗌 The	Child E	Develop	ment and	Care	e (C	DC) p	rogran	n is (established by	Titles	IVA, ľ	VE
and XX	of the	Social	Security	Act,	the	Child	Care	and	Development	Block	Grant	of

2012-34628/JL

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, prior to November 30, 2011, the Department provided benefits to Claimant based solely on his unearned income. On November 30, 2011, in a Redetermination process, the Department learned that two of Claimant's children had unearned income of \$644 each and that Claimant's unearned income was increased.

Based on the updated income information provided by Claimant, the Department reduced his FAP from \$526 to \$48 per month and made a Medicaid deductible (Patient Pay Amount) requirement of \$305 per month for Claimant but not for the children.

It is found and determined that the Department acted correctly in changing Claimant's benefits levels to reflect the current unearned income of his family group.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department

denied Claimant's application

 \boxtimes reduced Claimant's benefits

closed Claimant's case

for: \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly \square did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Jan One .. Jan Leventer

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 26, 2012

Date Mailed: March 26, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/pf

CC:

