## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

| DEL ARTIMENT OF HOMAN CERVICES   |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| IN THE MATTER OF:  |  |  |  |
|  | Reg. No.:<br>Issue No.:<br>Case No.:<br>Hearing Date:<br>County: | 2012-34213<br>2006<br>June 13, 2012<br>Wayne (35)            |  |
| ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane   |  |  |  |
| HEARING DECISION   |  |  |  |
| This matter is before the undersigned Admini strate and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request following telephone hearing was held on June 13, 2012, frobehalf of Claimant included claimant and Participants on behalf of the Department of Huronic Claimant and Clai | or a hearing. Afte<br>om Detroit, Michig                         | r due notice, a  |  |
| <u>ISSUE</u>   |  |  |  |
| Did the Departm ent properly $\  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \ $  |  |  |  |
| Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?   |  | sistance (AMP)?<br>ssistance (SDA)?<br>ent and Care (CDC)?   |  |
| FINDINGS OF FACT   |  |  |  |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material factors.  |  | al, and substantial  |  |
| 1. Cla imant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received benefits for:   |  |  |  |
| Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP). Medical Assistance (MA).   | State Disability A   | ssistance (AMP).<br>Assistance (SDA).<br>ent and Care (CDC). |  |

| <ol> <li>On June 18, 2011, the Department         ☐ denied Claimant's application</li></ol>  |                  |  |
|--|------------------|--|
| 3. On April 31, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ failure to properly pay the child care provider.   |                  |  |
| CONCLUSIONS OF LAW   |                  |  |
| Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).  | ne               |  |
| ☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Person Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-1942 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independent Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.37 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) prograeffective October 1, 1996. | 93,<br>ce<br>101 |  |
| ☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (F program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.         | is<br>al<br>c e  |  |
| ☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFF The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independenc Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC 400.105.  | R).<br>e         |  |
| ☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .   |                  |  |
| ☐ The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistant for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Huma Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SE program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 20 00 AACS, Rule 400.3151 throug Rule 400.3180.  | an<br>DA         |  |
| ☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IV and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 19 The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts   | of<br>96.        |  |

and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015. Additionally, the depart ment testified that it closed the claimant's case on June 18, 2011, because the claimant was no longer participating in activities that would qualify her for CDC ben efits. This statement by the department w as challen ged by the claimant's statement that she continued at in a JET approved work study program. Neither the claimant nor the department were able to verify or disprove this assertion. Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application | improperly closed Claimant's case properly closed Claimant's case for:  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\square$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\boxtimes$  CDC. **DECISION AND ORDER** The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly. did not act properly. Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record. THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER: 1. Accept documentation from the claimant and the claim ant's child care provider that the claimant was, in fac t, participating in a JET appr oved work study program and that the child care provider, in fact, provided such child care. If such documentation

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 26, 2012

provider.

Date Mailed: June 26, 2012

shows a deficiency in CDC pay ment the d epartment will pay the benefits due the

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## MJB/cl

