# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 2012 34096

Issue No.: 3

3003

Case No.: Hearing Date:

March 15, 2012

County: Wayne County DHS (19)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

#### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 15, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant and her husband, Abdulah Al-Jabur (her Authorized Hearing Representative). Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Felicia Brown, Assistance Payments Supervisor, and Lisa Granderson, ES.

### <u>ISSUE</u>

| Due to excess income, did the Department properly ☐ deny the Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case ☒ reduce Claimant's benefits for: |                                                                                                                                                  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?                                              | ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?                                       |  |  |  |
| FINDINGS OF FACT                                                                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                  |  |  |  |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:         |                                                                                                                                                  |  |  |  |
| Claimant                                                                                                                                      | received benefits for:                                                                                                                           |  |  |  |
| ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA).                                              | <ul> <li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).</li> <li>☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).</li> <li>☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).</li> </ul> |  |  |  |

| 2.                     | On March 1, 2012, the Department  denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case  reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess income.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3.                     | On February 8, 2012, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure. reduction.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| 4.                     | On February 13, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☐ reduction of benefits.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|                        | CONCLUSIONS OF LAW                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|                        | partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|                        | The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Re<br>42<br>Ag<br>thr  | The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996. |
| pro<br>imp<br>Re<br>Ag | The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is olemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal gulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.                |
| Se<br>Th               | The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.                                                                                                                    |
| for<br>as              | The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.                                                                                                                  |
|                        | The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, at the hearing the food assistance budget as presented by the Department was thoroughly reviewed. (Exhibit 1, pp. 28-29). The facts showed that the household income consisted of unemployment benefits received by the Claimant's husband, in the amount of \$506 weekly. The weekly amount was confirmed by the Claimant's spouse. The Department erred when it computed the FAP budget when it entered the unemployment benefits as being received bi-weekly. Once the error was corrected by the Department the unearned income monthly total income for the group was computed as follows  $$506 \times 4.3 = $2175$ . The Department correctly computed the FAP gross monthly income and its calculation is correct and in accordance with Department policy found in BEM 505.

The remainder of the FAP budget was reviewed, and the Claimant's group size of 5 members was confirmed and the Claimant received the maximum excess shelter deduction of \$459 per month. As explained at the hearing, the excess shelter deduction does not allow for deduction of the actual housing expenses and associated utilities. The actual expenses are considered, but the maximum that can be credited, regardless of the actual costs and expenses incurred as housing expense are limited by Department policy to \$459 per month. BEM 554. In this case the Department credited the Claimant with the highest deduction available.

| Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department $\  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \ $ |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <ul><li>☐ denied Claimant's application</li><li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li><li>☐ closed Claimant's case</li></ul>                                                                                                           |
| for:                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| DECISION AND ORDER                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department   ☐ did not act properly.                                          |
| Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.                                                                                                           |

☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

Lynn M. Ferris
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 16, 2012

Date Mailed: March 16, 2012

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail to:

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/hw

## 201234096/LMF

