STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

п	1 TI		BA A	T-	ΓFR		┏.
П	u II	HE	IVI	۱ı	ırk	U	-

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201233914 3002 March 14, 2012 Oakland (03)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin		
HEARING DECIS	SION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrati and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on March 14, 2012, fr behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participal Human Services (Department) included	for a hearing. om Detroit, Michig	After due notice, a gan. Participants on the Department of
ISSUE		
Due to excess income, did the Department prope ☐ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's be		laimant's application
		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF F	<u>ACT</u>	

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	Claimant	applied for benefits for:	☑ received benefits for:
	⊠ Food As	ndependence Program (FIP). ssistance Program (FAP). Assistance (MA).	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).

2.	On June 1, 2010, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case due to changes in Claimant's medical deductions.
3.	On April 26, 2010, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. closure. reduction.
4.	On May 6, 2010, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☐ reduction of benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
an	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.

The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, in a April 26, 2010, Notice of Case Action, the Department notified Claimant that her FAP benefits would decrease to \$71 per month. At the hearing, the Department testified that the decrease was due to a reduction in Claimant's medical expense deduction. The Department explained that the Claimant became eligible for the Medicare Savings Program and the Department began paying her Medicare Part B premium. As a result, her medical expenses deduction intitally budgeted at \$170 was reduced to \$73, effective June 1, 2010.

The Department produced Claimant's FAP budget for June 2010. The Claimant verified that at that time she received monthly gross Retirement, Survivors and Disability Insurance (RSDI) benefits of \$1004 and a monthly gross pension of \$136.53. Thus, the Department properly calculated Claimant's gross monthly unearned of \$1142 in her FAP budget. BEM 503.

From the gross income, the Department properly subtracted the \$132 standard deduction available to Claimant's FAP group size of one. RFT 255. Because Claimant is a Senior/Disabled/Veteran (SDV) member, she was eligible for a deduction for medical expenses she incurred in excess of \$35. BEM 554. Effective June 2010, she was no longer responsible for her monthly Medicare premiums of \$96.50. She did continue to pay a health insurance premium. At that time, the amount of the monthly premium was \$108, which Claimant confirmed at the hearing. Thus, Claimant's FAP budget properly included a medical expense deduction of \$73. The Department also considered monthly housing expenses of \$422.03, which Claimant confirmed, and the standard heat and utility deduction of \$555 available to all FAP recipients in calculating Claimant's FAP budget. BEM 554; RFT 255. Based on the foregoing figures, the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it concluded that Claimant was entitled to \$71 per month in FAP benefits effective June 1, 2010. BEM 550; BEM 556; RFT 260. Subsequent circumstances may have affected the amount of FAP benefits Claimant currently receives.

stated on the	record, the	dings of Fact a Administrative ⊠ properly	Law Judge	concludes		
🔯 reduce	Claimant's ap d Claimant's I Claimant's ca	benefits				
for: AMP	☐ FIP ⊠ FA	P 🗌 MA 🗌 SD	A CDC.			

DECISION AND ORDER

of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \int \text{did act properly} \text{did not act properly.}
Accordingly, for the reasons stated above and on the record, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED.
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

Alice C. Elkin Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 16, 2012

Date Mailed: March 16, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

201233914/ACE

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail to:
Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/hw

