## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

п	1 TI		BA A	T-	ΓFR		┏.
П	<b>u</b> II	HE	IVI	۱ı	ırk	U	-

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201233821 3015 March 15, 2012 Macomb (12)				
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkir	1					
HEARING DECISION						
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a selephone hearing was held on March 15, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Assistance Payment Worker.						
ISSUE						
Did the Department properly ⊠ deny Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case for:						
Family Independence Program (FIP)?  Food Assistance Program (FAP)?  Medical Assistance (MA)?  Direct Support Services (DSS)?		sistance (AMP)? assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?				
FINDINGS OF FACT						
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:						
1. Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ received	benefits for:					
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). ☐ Direct Support Services (DSS)	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).				

2.	On October 27, 2011, the Department ☑ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case due to excess income.				
3.	On October 27, 2011, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure.				
4.	On January 20, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the $\boxtimes$ denial of the application. $\square$ closure of the case.				
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW					
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).					
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.				
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 ough Rule 400.3015.				
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.				
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.				
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human prize (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 0.3180.				

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.				
☐ Direct Support Services (DSS) is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.57a, et. seq., and Mich Admin Code R 400.3603.				
Additionally, the Department testified that it denied Claimant's October 2011 FAP application on the basis that Claimant's net income of \$2358 exceeded the net income limit of \$1863 appplicable to her FAP group size of four.				
At the hearing, the Department produced Claimant's FAP net income budget. The Department testified the Claimant had gross earned income of \$1806. However, the Department was unable to specify the pay it relied upon in calculating Claimant's earned income, or the period considered. It was also unable to specify the date of Claimant's October 2011 FAP application.				
Furthermore, the Department testified that in determining the child support deduction based on the child support paid by Claimant's son, a group member, it used an average of three months' payments and did not consider arrearages the son paid. However, in calculating expenses for FAP budget purposes, expenses should be used from the same calendar month as the month for which benefits are determined. BEM 554. In this case, it was unclear what benefit month the Department was basing its benefit calculation. The Department should use child support paid during the same month for which income is calculated. Also, although the Department testified that it considered only the court-ordered amount of child support, it should consider <b>both</b> court-ordered child support and arrearages actually paid by household members to non-household members in the benefit month at issue. BEM 554.				
Because the Department failed to support its calculation of Claimant's net income, it did not act in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's FAP application on the basis that her net income exceeded the net income limit for her group size.				
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department				
<ul> <li>□ properly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ properly closed Claimant's case</li> <li>□ improperly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ improperly closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>				
for:				

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

of Law, and for the reasons stated on the did act properly. ☐ did not act pro	
	☐ FIP ☑ FAP ☐ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☐ DSS ED for the reasons stated above and on the
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECIS	O DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF SION AND ORDER:

- 1. Reinstate Claimant's October 2011 FAP application;
- 2. Begin reprocessing the application in accordance with Department policy;
- 3. Issue supplements for any FAP benefits Claimant was eligible to receive but did not from the date of her October 2011 application ongoing; and
- 4. Notify Claimant in writing of its decision in accordance with Department policy.

Alice C. Elkin Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 16, 2012

Date Mailed: March 16, 2012

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:

- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
   typographical errors mathematical error typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail to:

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## ACE/hw

