## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

п	1 TI		BA A	T-	ΓFR		┏.
П	<b>u</b> II	HE	IVI	۱ı	ırk	U	-

3. On January 9, 2012, the Department

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012 33345 4003 June 13, 2012 Wayne County DHS (43)				
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris						
HEARING DECIS	SION					
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a selephone hearing was held on June 13, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant and his Authorized Hearing Representative, (AHR), Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included ES.						
ISSUE						
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly ☑ deny Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's penefits for:						
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?	Child Developme	ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)? y Relief? (home repair)				
FINDINGS OF FA	<u>ACT</u>					
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimony		•				
<ol> <li>Claimant</li></ol>	FIP  FAP  MA	A □SDA □CDC ⊠				
Claimant was required to submit requested veri	fication by Janua	rv 19 2012				

## 201233345/ LMF

<ul><li>☑ denied Claimant's application.</li><li>☐ closed Claimant's case.</li><li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits .</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>4. On January 9, 2012, the Department sent notice of the ☐ denial of Claimant's application.</li> <li>☐ closure of Claimant's case.</li> <li>☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits.</li> </ul>	
<ol><li>The Claimant's AHR provided proof of property ownership, a deed, and 4 estimates of furnace repair by email to the Department on January 18, 2012.</li></ol>	S
<ul> <li>6. On February 2, 2012 Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of claimant's application.</li> <li>☐ closure of Claimant's case.</li> <li>☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits.</li> </ul>	
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).	
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Persona Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-19342 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101311. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.	В, е -
The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS F400.3001-3015	s al e
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.	).
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCI 400.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.	n

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.  ☐ The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and by, 1999 AC, Rule 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department policies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).
Additionally, The Claimant's AHR credibility testified that the requested verification information was provided in a timely manner, and produced the documentation of the verification of information that she sent to the Department via the internet. Claimant Exhibit 1. The Claimant's estimates of furnace repair all pre dated the verification due date and the AHS knowledgeably testified precisely how the documents were downloaded and supplied to the Department through her father's (Claimant) online account. Although the Department testified that it did not receive the documents, it is clear the verification checklist was complied with, and the application should not have been denied. BAM 130.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department $\square$ properly $\boxtimes$ improperly
<ul><li>☐ closed Claimant's case.</li><li>☐ denied Claimant's application.</li><li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.</li></ul>
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department  did act properly  did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. The Department shall reinstate the Claimant's SER furnace repair application dated January 5, 2012, and shall process the application in accordance with Department policy and determine the Claimant's eligibility for SER repair assistance based upon the verifications provided at the hearing and marked Claimant Exhibit 1.

Lynn M. Ferris
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 18, 2012

Date Mailed: June 18, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/hw

## 201233345/ LMF

