## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

| П | N | т | н | F | N  | ΙΔ | T | ΓΕ | R | 0 | F | • |
|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|---|----|---|---|---|---|
| ш | • |   | п | _ | IV |    |   |    | • | • |   |   |

|  | Reg. No.:<br>Issue No.:<br>Case No.:<br>Hearing Date:<br>County: | 2012-33219<br>3016<br>April 19, 2012<br>Wayne (82-17)        |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert J. Cha  | avez   |  |  |  |  |  |
| HEARING DECISION   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following claimant's request person hearing was held on April 19, 2012, from behalf of claimant included . Particular P | for a hearing. Aft<br>om Detroit, Michig                         | ter due notice, a in-  |  |  |  |  |
| <u>ISSUE</u>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Did the Department properly $oxtimes$ deny claimant's application $oxtimes$ close claimant's case for:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family Independence Program (FIP)?  Food Assistance Program (FAP)?  Medical Assistance (MA)?   |  | sistance (AMP)?<br>assistance (SDA)?<br>ent and Care (CDC)?  |  |  |  |  |
| FINDINGS OF  | FACT   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material f   |  | rial, and substantial  |  |  |  |  |
| Claimant    □ applied for benefits    □ received   | benefits for:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA).   | State Disability A   | ssistance (AMP).<br>Assistance (SDA).<br>ent and Care (CDC). |  |  |  |  |

| 2.                                 | On January 31, 2012, the Department   denied claimant's application   closed claimant's case due to student status ineligibility.  |
|------------------------------------|--|
| 3.                                 | On January 30, 2012, the Department sent   |
| 4.                                 | On January 30, 2012, claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.  |
|                                    | CONCLUSIONS OF LAW   |
|                                    | epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).   |
| Re<br>42<br>Ag<br>thr              | The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996. |
| pro<br>im <sub> </sub><br>Re<br>Ag | The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.               |
| Se<br>Th<br>Ag                     | The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.   |
|                                    | The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.   |
| for<br>Se<br>pro                   | The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through the 400.3180.  |

| The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.   |
|--|
| Additionally, BEM 245 specifically lays out the exceptions to FAP ineligibility for student status. The Department representative testified credibly that claimant did not allege an exception during the interview process. Furthermore, claimant testified that she is not working; BEM 245 requires work of 20 hours and to be paid for such work at a rate equal to at least the minimum wage. By her own admission, claimant is not being paid for work equal to 20 hours per week. |
| While the undersigned is sympathetic to claimant's needs, the policy is quite clear. Claimant must meet one of the exceptions to student status to continue with FAP eligibility, and claimant does not meet any of those exceptions, by her own testimony. Therefore, the Department was required to close the FAP case in question.  |
| Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department   |
| ☐ properly denied claimant's application ☐ improperly denied claimant's application ☐ improperly closed claimant's case  |
| for:   |
| DECISION AND ORDER   |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \infty \text{did act properly.} \infty \text{did not act properly.}  |
| Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.   |
| Robert J. Chavez  Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services   |

Date Signed: April 25, 2012

Date Mailed: April 25, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## RJC/pf

