STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.:	2012-328
Case No.:	1000, 2000, 3000
Hearing Date:	November 17, 2011
County:	Kent

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 17, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included t he Claimant. Participants o n behalf of Department of Human Servic es (Depar tment) included Family Independence Specialist.

ISSUES

Did the Department properly:

- grant Claimant's application for Medical Assistance?

- deny Claimant's wife's application for MA-Adult Medical Program (AMP) benefits?

- deny payment of a Family Independence Program (FIP) cash supplement to Claimant?

- pay Claimant a cash supplement of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Cla imant 🛛 applied for benefits for: 🔲 received benefits for:

Family Independence Program (FIP). (Claimant's spouse).



Food Assistance Program (FAP).

State Disability Assistance (SDA).
 Child Development and Care (CDC).

Adult Medical Assist ance (AMP)

Medical Assistance (MA) (Claimant).

On August 16, 2011, the Department denied Claimant's spouses AMP application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits

due to the fact that the pr ogram was then closed to new applicants Claimant MA was approved.

- On August 16, 2011, the Department sent
 ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.

5. Claimant's Hearing Request also disputed the failure of the Department to pay him a FIP lump sum payment, and the Department failed to correct the wrongful conversion of a FAP lump sum payment by Claimant's son.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bri dges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) progr am, which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. T he Department provides servic es to adult s and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, with regard to Claim ant's MA benefits, at the Hearing the Claim ant agreed that he received thes e benefits and did not dispute his receip t of MA benefits. This issue shall be dismissed.

Second, with regard to Claim ant's spouse 's denial of AMP benef its, the Claimant admitted at the Hearing that he understood that she was not eligible and he did not pursue this issue further. This issue shall be dismissed.

Third, with regard to Claimant 's assertion that he did not receive a lump sum FI P payment, it is undis puted that Cla imant's son, who is the father of Claimant's s grandchildren, resided in Claim ant's home. The presence of Claimant's son in the home causes Claimant to be ineligible to receive FIP benefits for the children, and the biological parent is the eligible recipient. Therefore Cla imant's assertion that he is eligible for a lump sum FIP payment is unfounded and is dismissed.

Fourth, with regard to a FAP lump sum payment wrongfully converted by the son for his own use, this issue is not within the juri sdiction of the Adminis trative Law Judge and must be pursued through Department administrat ive channels. Therefore it must be dismissed.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes and determines that there are no issues properly before the ALJ and this case shall be dismissed in its entirety.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly \square did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Claimant's 🖾 AMP 🖾 FIP 🖾 FAP 🖾 MA 🗌 SDA 🔲 CDC claims are 🖾 DISMISSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Ja

Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 6, 2011 Date Mailed: December 6, 2011

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/cl			
CC:			