# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-32631

Issue No.: 1000

Case No.:

Hearing Date: June 13, 2012 County: Wayne (49)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

## SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 13, 2012, fr om Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claim ant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Family Independence Specialist.

# **ISSUE**

Whether the Department properly:	
☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits	
for:	
<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?</li> <li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?</li> <li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?</li> <li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?</li> </ul>	☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)? ☐ State Emergency Services (SER)?

# **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	On September 1, 2011, the Department:
	<ul> <li>☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits</li> <li>☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits</li> <li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li> </ul>
	under the following program(s):
	⊠ FIP □ FAP □ MA □ AMP □ SDA □ CDC □ SER.
2.	On August 19, 2011, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:
	☐ denial ☐ closure ☐ reduction.
3.	Claimant timely requested a hearing with respect to the closure of her FIP case and at a pr ehearing conference on October 17, 2011, the Department agreed to reopen Claimant's FIP case and issue supplements.
4.	The Department never issu ed supplements or notified Cla imant of the status of her FIP case.
5.	On January 27, 2012, Claimant fil ed a request for hearing c oncerning the Department's action.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Eligib	rtment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges ility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Manual (ERM).
Respo 42 US Ageno throug	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established purs uant to the Personal consibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, SC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence cy) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 gh Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ive October 1, 1996.
progra imple Regul Agena	the Food Assistance e Program (FAP) [form erly known as the Food Stamp (FS) am] is establis hed by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is mented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal lations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence cy) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 001 through Rule 400.3015.

☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc Tall Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence Agency) administers the MA proogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 400.105.
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 20 00 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
☐ The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is estable ished by 2 004 PA 344. The SER program is administer ed pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and by 1999 AC, Rul e 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department police ies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The facts in this case establish that Claimant was notified of the closure of her FIP case on September 19, 2011, that s he timely filed a hearing request, and that, as a result of the Department's agreement at a prehearing conference he ld on October 17, 2011 t o reinstate her FIP cas e, the hearing nev er took plac e. Because Claimant's original hearing request was timely filed and there is no evidence that the Department processed a withdrawal of t he prior hearing request, the current request for hearing is timely.

The law pr ovides that disposition may be made of a contest ed case by s tipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: (i) reinstate Claimant's FIP case as of September 1, 2011; (ii) issue supplements for FIP benefits Claimant was eligible to receive, in accordance with Department policy, but did not from September 1, 2011, ongoing; (iii) notify Claimant in writing of its decision in accordance with Department policy.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wish es to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decis ion regarding the facts and issues in this case.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

### THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. Reinstate Claimant's FIP case as of September 1, 2011;
- 2. Issue supplements for FIP benefits Claimant was eligible to receive, in accordance with Department policy, but did not from September 1, 2011, ongoing; and
- 3. Notify Claimant in writing of its decision in accordance with Department policy

Alice C. Elkin

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 14, 2012

Date Mailed: June 14, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

### ACE/cl

cc: