STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

| ı | N | П | П | | | ٨ | A I | ۷. | г | ΓΕ | Р |) | | | • |
|---|----|---|---|---|---|------|-----|----|---|----|---|---|---|---|---|
| | IV | | | п | _ | - 11 | 117 | • | | | | | _ | • | _ |

| | | ı |
|--|--|---|
| | | |

Reg. No.: 2012-32608

Issue No.: 3008

Case No.: Hearing Date:

Hearing Date: March 8, 2012 County: Macomb (20)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Marc h 8, 2012, from Detroit, Mi chigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant inc luded Claimant. Part icipants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

| Due to a failure to comply with the ve rifi properly deny Claimant's application cbenefits for: | ication requirements, did the Department lose Claimant's case |
|---|---|
| ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? | ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)? |
| FINDINGS | OF FACT |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based upon evidence on the whole record, including testing | the competent, material, and substantia mony of witnesses, finds as material fact: |
| 1. Cla imant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: | ∏FIP ⊠FAP ∏MA ∏SDA ∏CDC. |

3. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by January 30, 2012.

2. Cla imant ⋈ was ☐ was not provided with a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503).

| 4. | On January 1, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner. |
|------------------------------------|--|
| 5. | On February 10, 2012, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits. |
| 6. | On February 2, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction. |
| | CONCLUSIONS OF LAW |
| | epartment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). |
| Re 42 Ag thr | The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program fective October 1, 1996. |
| pro im Re Ag | The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015. |
| Se Th | The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. |
| for as | The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance disabled persons is established by 20 04 PA 344. The Depar tment (formerly known the F amily Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180. |
| an | The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. |

The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fe deral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adult and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Clients must cooperate with the local DHS office in obtaining verification for determining initial and ongoing eligibility. BAM 130. The questionable information might be from the client or a third party. *Id.* The Department can use docum ents, collateral contacts or home calls to verify information. *Id.* The client should be allowed 10 calendar days to provide the verification. If the client cannot provide the verification despite a reasonable effort, the time limit to provide the information should be extended at least once. BAM 130. If the client refuses to provide the information or has not made a reasonable effort within the specified time period, then polic y directs that a negative action be issued. BAM 130.

In the present case, Claimant was required to submit income verification regarding her children by January 30, 2012. Claimant spoke with a Departm ent representative on or about January 20, 2012, inquiring what she needed to submit, as her daughter had not begun working yet. The Department's representative instructed Claimant to obtain a letter from her daughter's employer, which hold Claimant did obtain and submit to the Department. Claimant also submitted information regarding her son. However, Claimant unknowingly submitted a faulty copy of her son's information. I cannot find that Claimant failed to cooperate as required by policy. In addition, the Notice of Case Action appears to be faulty in that the Notice is dated February 10, 2012, giving notice of a closure of January 1, 2012.

| Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department ☐ properly ☐ improperly |
|--|
| ☐ closed Claimant's case.☐ denied Claimant's application.☐ reduced Claimant's benefits. |
| DECISION AND ORDER |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly. |
| Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record. |
| \boxtimes THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER: |

- 1. Initiate reinstatement of Claimant's FAP c ase, Janu ary 1, 2012 and ongoing, if Claimant is otherwise eligible for FAP.
- 2. Issue FAP supplements to Claimant, January 1, 2012 and ongoing, for any missed or increased payments.

Susan C. Burke
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 3/14/12

Date Mailed: 3/14/12

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

2012-32608/SCB

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at
Michigan Administrative Hearings
Re consideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/sm

