STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-32384

Issue No.: 2000

Case No.:

Hearing Date: April 11, 2012

County: Monroe

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held in Monroe, Michigan on Wednes day, April 11, 2012. The Claim ant appeared and testified. The Claimant was represented by

. Part icipating on behalf of the De partment of Human Services

("Department") was

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department proper ly processed the Claimant's 2010 Medical Assistanc e application(s)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claim ant submitted applicat ions for medical assistance ("MA") in the latter half of 2010.
- 2. On September 10, 2010, the Claimant's Authorized R epresentative submitted two facility admission notices to the Department along with the Authorization to Represent. (Claimant Exhibit A, pp. 3 7)
- 3. For the period of J uly 1, 2010 th rough September 1, 201 1, the Author ized Representative and the Department communicated via email regarding the status of the Claimant's MA application. (Claimant Exhibit A, pp. 8 21)
- The 2010 MA application was not properly processed.

- 5. On December 1, 2011, the Department received the Claimant 's written request for hearing.
- 6. During the hearing, the Depart ment agreed to process a September 2010 application, retroactive to June 2010.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medic al Assistance program ("MA") is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the Code of F ederal Regulations. The Department of Human Services, formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency, administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400. 10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Br idges Administra tive Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Tables ("RFT").

The law pr ovides that disposition may be made of a contest ed case by s tipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24. 278(2)

In this case, the Claimant/Representative presented documentation that established that a MA application was submitted during the summer of 2010. In September 2010, the Department received two facility admission notices along with the written Authorization to represent. Based on the record, it appears the application was lost. The Claimant/Representative agreed to re-create the application and submit it to the Department. During the hearing, the Department agreed to process a September 2010 application with retroactive benefits to June 2010. All parties were amenable to this resolution. In light of the accord, there is no further issue that needs to be addressed.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law finds the Department's actions are not upheld.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

- 1. The Department's action is not upheld.
- The Department shall, as agreed, initiate processing of a Sept ember 2010, 2010 application retroactive to June 20 10 in acc ordance with Department policy.
- 3. The Department shall notify the Claimant and her Authorized Hearing Representative of the determination in accordance with Department policy.

4. The Department shall supplement for lo st benefits (if any) that the Claimant was entitled to receive with respect to the September 2010 applic ation if otherwise eligible and qualified.

Colleen M. Mamuka
Colleen M. Mamelka

Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 18, 2012

Date Mailed: April 18, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CMM/cl

2012-32384/CMM

