## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

11	LTI		BA A	•	ΓFR	$\sim$	г.
ır	4 I I	7E	IVI	۱ı	ırk	u	-



Reg. No.: 201232204

Issue No.: 1021

Case No.:

Hearing Date: March 7, 2012 County: Wayne (35)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, telephone hearing was held on March 7, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants of behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included France, Family Independence Specialist, and Family Independence Manager.						
<u>ISSUE</u>						
Did the Department properly $\square$ deny Claimant's application $\boxtimes$ close Claimant's case for:						
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)? Direct Support Services (DSS)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?					
FINDINGS OF FACT						
The Administrative Law Judge, based on evidence on the whole record, finds as materi	•					
Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ receive	ed benefits for:					
<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li> <li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li> <li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li> <li>☐ Direct Support Services (DSS).</li> </ul>	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA). ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).					

2.	On February 1, 2012, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case due to ineligibility.
3.	On January 9, 2012, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On January 17, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ogulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 ough Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 0.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE
and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of
1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.
The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98
and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL
400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
Direct Support Services (DSS) is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL

☐ Direct Support Services (DSS) is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.57a, et. seq., and Mich Admin Code R 400.3603.

Additionally, Claimant's FIP case was initially closed on October 1, 2011, because she had received federally-funded FIP benefits for 134 months, in excess of the sixty (60) month time limit for receipt of such benefits. However, after Claimant alleged that she was disabled, the Department agreed to reinstate her FIP case and continue to pay her FIP benefits pending a decision from the Medical Review Team (MRT) regarding whether she had a disability which justified her deferral from participating in the Jobs, Education, and Training (JET) program. The Department reasoned that if Claimant established a disability deferral from JET, she would be entitled to state-funded FIP because she had only used fourteen (14) months of her state-funded FIP and was entitled to forty-eight (48) months. After the Department received the MRT's denial of Claimant's JET deferral on January 4, 2012, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action dated January 9, 2012, closing Claimant's FIP case effective February 1, 2012.

In this case, Claimant acknowledged that she received federally-funded FIP benefits for more than 60 months. BEM 234 provides that a group that includes an individual who has received 60 months or more of federally-funded FIP is not eligible for the FIP program. Once an individual reaches a FIP time limit and the FIP case closes, the individual is not eligible for FIP, even if the individual meets an exemption criteria based on the funding source. BEM 234. Thus, once Claimant exceeded the federal FIP time limit, she was not eligible for further FIP benefits despite her alleged disability. See also BEM 210. Although the Department erroneously permitted Claimant to apply for a JET deferral from employment activities in order to establish eligibility for state-funded FIP, the Department nevertheless acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FIP case.

At the hearing, Claimant testified that she had actually sought to apply for State Disability Assistance (SDA) rather than continued FIP benefits. The Department testified that, because Claimant still had unused months on her state-funded FIP, she was not eligible for SDA. However, BEM 210 provides that a client is not eligible for FIP if the group includes an adult who has accumulated more than 60 months of federally-funded FIP. On the other hand, SDA is available to individuals who establish a disability in accordance with Department policy. BEM 261. Thus, the Department must allow Claimant to apply for SDA benefits.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
<ul> <li>□ properly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ improperly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ improperly closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \infty \text{did act properly.}  \text{did not act properly.}
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\boxtimes$ FIP $\square$ FAP $\square$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC $\square$ DSS decision is $\boxtimes$ AFFIRMED $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
110a-

Alice C. Elkin Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 13, 2012

Date Mailed: March 13, 2012

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:

- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
   typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail to: Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## ACE/hw

