STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

	TIL	A A	TTC	\mathbf{n}	~ E.
IN	ΙН	MΑ	TTE	ĸ	JF:

IN THE MATTER OF .					
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-32134 3008 March 7, 2012 Wayne (15)			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burk	е				
HEARING DECIS	SION				
This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Marc h 7, 2012, from Detroit, Mi chigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant inc luded Claimant. Part icipants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included					
ISSUE					
Due to a failure to comply with the ve rification properly ☐ deny Claimant's application ☒ close to benefits for:	· _	did the Department ☑ reduce Claimant's			
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)?				
FINDINGS OF FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimony	•	rial, and substantia I ls as material fact:			
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☐F	IP ⊠FAP □MA [□SDA □CDC.			
 On January 1, 2012, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits 					

for failure to submit verification in a timely manner. 3. On February 7, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial. Closure. reduction. **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW** Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seg. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. The Food Assistance e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food States] Stamp Act of 1977, as amend program] is establis hed by the Food ed. and is implemented by the federal r egulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Regulations (CFR). The Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015. The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and MCL 400.105. The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial as sistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180. The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establishhed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Feder al Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adult sand children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Clients must cooperate with the local DHS office in obtaining verification for determining initial and ongoing eligibility. BAM 130. The questionable information might be from the client or a third party. *Id.* The Department can use docum ents, collateral contacts or home calls to verify information. *Id.* The client should be allowed 10 calendar days to provide the verification. If the client cannot provide the verification despite a reasonable

effort, the time limit to provide the information should be extended at least once. BAM 130. If the client refuses to provide the information or has not made a reasonable effort within the specified time period, then polic y directs that a negative action be issued. BAM 130.

In the above case, there was some t estimony that the D epartment issued a Redetermination for m, and t hat Claimant follo wed up wit h phone calls to t he Department, with an attempted return call by the Department. The Redetermination form was not presented as evidence by the Department, and in light of the evidence a s a whole, I cannot find that Claimant failed to cooperate as required by policy.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly closed Claimant's case. denied Claimant's application. reduced Claimant's benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.

Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Initiate reinstatement of Claimant's FAP case, effective January 1, 2012, if Claimant is otherwise eligible for FAP.
- 2. Issue supplements to Claimant Januar y 1, 2012 and ongoing f or any missed or increased payment, in accordance with Department policy.

Susan C. Burke

Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>3/14/12</u>

Date Mailed: <u>3/14/12</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/sm

