# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg No.: 2012-31209

Issue No.: 2006

Case No.:

Hearing Date: July 5, 2012 Macomb County DHS (36)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held in Sterling Heights, Michigan on Thursday, July 5, 2012. The Claimant did not appear; however her Authorized Hearing Represent ative appeared and testified.

appeared on behalf of the Department of Human Services ("Department").

## **ISSUE**

Whether the Department properly denied the Claimant's August 13, 2009 application for Medical Assistance ("MA") benefits?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- The Claimant did not participate in the hearing process.
- 2. The Claim ant/AHR s ubmitted an application for public ass istance seek ing Medical Assistance ("MA") benefits on August 13, 2009.
- 3. The application was not properly processed resulting in a hearing request dated June 2, 2011.
- 4. The hearing request was withdrawn when the Department agreed to process the application.

- 5. On July 8, 2011, a Verification Check list was sent the Cla imant/AHR requesting the verifications be submitted by July 25, 2011. (Exhibit 1)
- 6. On July 25, 2011, via an email comm unication, the AHR requested a 10 day extension. (Exhibit 2)
- 7. On August 5, 2011, the AHR requested another 10 days extension.
- 8. On August 15, 2011, the Department denied the Claimant's MA applic ation based on the failure to timely submit the requested verifications. (Exhibit 3)
- 9. On September 12, 2011, the Department received the Claimant's timely written request for hearing. (Exhibit 5)

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Reference Tables ("RFT"). ☐ The Family Independence Program ("FIP") was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department, formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency, administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FI P replaced the Aid to Dependent Children ("ADC") program effective October 1, 1996. ☐ The Food Assistance Program ("FAP"), formerly known as the Food Stamp program, is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"). The Department, formerly known as the Fami ly Independence Agency, administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et se q., and Mi ch Admin Code, Rules 400.3001 through R 400.3015. ☐ The Medical Assistance ("MA") program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"). The Department of Human Services, form erly known as the Family Independenc Agency, administers the MA pr ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and MC L 400.105. ☐ The Adult Medical Program ("AMP") is established by 42 USC 131 5, and is administered by the Department of Human Services pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq. The State Disability Assistance ("SDA") program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services, formerly known as the Family Independence Agency, administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10. et seg., and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151 through R 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care ("CDC") program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Feder al Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.5001 through R 400.5015.

Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility to include the completion of the necessary forms. BAM 10 5. Verification means documentation or other evidence to establish the accuracy of the client's verbal or written statements. BAM 130. Clients are allowed 10 calendar days (or other time limit specified in policy) to provide the requested verifications. BAM 130. Verifications are considered timely if received by the due date. BAM 130.

In this case, the Claimant/AHR submitted an MA application on August 9, 2009. Due to a failure to timely process, a verification on checklist was not sent to the Claimant/AHR of July 25, 2011. On July 25 th, the Department until J uly 8, 2011 with a due date granted the Claimant's/AHR's extension request. The AHR's position is that the 10 day extension was until August 5<sup>th</sup>. The Department's position is that the 10 day extension In counting 10 days from July 25 <sup>th</sup>, the extens ion period expired on August 4, 2011. 1. As s uch the August 5 th extension r equest was period ended on August 4, 201 untimely. Ultimately, t he Department established it acted in accordance wit Department policy when it denied the Claimant's MA application based on the failure to timely submit the requested verifications. Accordingly, the Department's actions are AFFIRMED.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds the Department established it acted in accordance with department policy when it denied the Claim ant's August 9, 2009 application.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

The Department's August 15, 2011 determination is AFFIRMED.

Colleen M. Mamelka Administrative Law Judge

Collein M. Mamilka

for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 11, 2012

Date Mailed: July 11, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

### CMM/cl

