# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201231115

Issue No.: 3052

Case No.:

Hearing Date: March 7, 2012 County: Wayne DHS (18)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 7, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the above named Claimant. The administrative hearing was held in the absence of a DHS representative.

# <u>ISSUE</u>

Did Claimant receive an overissuance of program benefits that the Department is entitled to recoup?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	During an unspecified period, Claimant received benefits for:
	☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA). ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA).
2.	The Department determined that Claimant received a $\hfill \square$ FIP $\hfill \square$ FAP $\hfill \square$ MA $\hfill \square$ SDA $\hfill \square$ CDC overissuance in the amount of \$unknown during the unspecified period.

3. The overissuance was due to unknown reasons.

- 4. On 1/27/12, the Department sent notice of the overissuance and a repayment agreement to Claimant.
- 5. On 2/8/12, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's recoupment action.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. ☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS)] program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015. The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and MCL 400.105. The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180. ☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

The present case involved a dispute concerning an alleged FAP benefit issuance. DHS initially provided a representative to appear on behalf of DHS for the administrative hearing. Upon learning that the case involved an issue of recoupment, the DHS

representative was given three additional minutes to enlist the participation of a more knowledgeable representative. After at least 15 minutes from the time DHS was first contacted and 45 minutes following the scheduled start time of the hearing, no DHS representatives were available to begin the hearing. The administrative hearing was commenced in the absence of a DHS representative.

It is known that Claimant requested a hearing to dispute an alleged overissuance of FAP benefits, which DHS was attempting to recoup. As DHS failed to participate in the hearing, no evidence was considered in support of the recoupment. Without supporting evidence of the recoupment, the DHS recoupment must be reversed.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that Claimant
☐ did receive an overissuance for ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC benefits in the amount of \$ that the Department is entitled to recoup.
$\boxtimes$ did not receive the overissuance for which the Department presently seeks recoupment.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
oxtimes THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
<ol> <li>DHS shall cease any recoupment or other collection actions against Claimant for the FAP benefit issuance related to the notice of recoupment dated 1/27/12; and</li> <li>supplement Claimant for any FAP benefits previously recouped.</li> </ol>
Christian Gardocki

Date Signed: March 12, 2012

Date Mailed: March 12, 2012

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail to:

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

### CG/hw

