

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No: 2012-30718  
Issue No: 3055  
Case No: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: April 24, 2012  
Saginaw County DHS

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Carmen G. Fahie

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge by authority of MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37; upon department's request for a hearing filed on February 15, 2012. After due notice, a hearing was held Tuesday, April 24, 2012. The law, MCL 24.278(2) provides that a disposition may be made of a contested case hearing by stipulation or agreed settlement. In this case, the department has agreed to settle since the claimant did not commit an intentional program violation, but did receive benefits that she was not entitled to when she received benefits for her son who was not in the home due to department error for the contested time period of September 1, 2009 through March 31, 2010 for \$1,124.

Under Bridges Administrative Manual Item 600, clients have the right to contest any agency decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever they believe the decision is incorrect. The department provides an Administrative Hearing to review the decision and determine if it is appropriate. Agency policy includes procedures to meet the minimal requirements for a fair hearing. Efforts to clarify and resolve the client's concerns must start when the hearing request is received and continue through the day of the hearing.

Prior to the closure of the hearing record, the department agreed to accept the respondent's signed repayment agreement to reimburse the department for the FAP benefits that she received that she was not entitled to and the repayment will begin in May 2012. As a result of this agreement, claimant indicated she/he no longer wished to proceed with the hearing. Since the claimant and the department have come to an agreement it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to make a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

Claimant retains a right to request a hearing on the above determination within 90 days from the date of the department's written notice, pursuant to BAM Item 600.

Therefore, it is not necessary for the Administrative Law Judge to decide the matter that was in dispute. Pursuant to MAC R 400.906 and 400.903, claimant's hearing request is HEREBY DISMISSED, because claimant is no longer aggrieved by a department action.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge based on the agreed upon settlement ORDERS that Claimant's hearing request is DISMISSED; and the department shall recoup the overissued benefits received by the respondent.

/s/  
Carmen G. Fahie  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 27, 2012

Date Mailed: April 27, 2012

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CGF/ds

cc:

