

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No: 2012-30519

Issue No: 2009

[REDACTED]

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:**

[REDACTED]

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on [REDACTED]. Claimant was represented at the administrative hearing by [REDACTED].

**ISSUE**

Did the Department of Human Services (DHS) properly deny claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) application?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On [REDACTED], claimant applied for MA with the Michigan Department of Human Services (DHS).
2. Claimant applied for 3 months of retro MA.
3. On [REDACTED], the MRT denied.
4. On [REDACTED], the DHS issued notice.
5. On [REDACTED], claimant filed a hearing request.
6. On [REDACTED] the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) denied claimant.
7. Claimant has an SSI application pending with the Social Security Administration (SSA).

8. As of the date of application, claimant was a [REDACTED] standing 6'0" tall and weighing 235 pounds. Claimant's body mass index (BMI) is 31.9 classifying claimant as obese under the BMI.
9. Claimant does not have an alcohol/drug abuse problem or history. Claimant does not smoke.
10. Claimant has a driver's license and can drive an automobile.
11. Claimant has a GED he obtained at [REDACTED]. Claimant stated he was learning disabled and had special education classes in grades 3rd through 5<sup>th</sup>. Claimant also claimed that he is disabled on the basis of speech therapy when he was in grade school. Claimant indicates that he was in the military service on Exhibit 6.
12. Claimant is not currently working. Claimant last worked as a prep cook from [REDACTED]. Claimant has also worked as a janitor. Claimant's work for 15 years as a prep cook entailed approximately 25 to 30 hours per week.
13. Claimant alleges disability on the basis of heart attack, back pain, arthritis, muscle problems, hand problems and mental impairment with a learning disability.
14. The [REDACTED] SHRT findings and conclusions of its decision are adopted and incorporated by reference herein/to the following extent:

Analysis: In [REDACTED] claimant was went to emergency room and collapsed at the door, striking his head. He was in ventricular fibrillation. An echocardiogram showed an acute MI. He underwent cardiac catheterization and stenting to the proximal right coronary artery, which was completely occluded. The claimant also had non-displaced occipital skull fracture with a small epidural hematoma. His only neurologic finding was dizziness, which was nearly resolved by discharge. In [REDACTED] his examination revealed he was obese, but was otherwise unremarkable. The claimant continued to report a flutter and underwent a Holter monitor, which showed normal rhythm and heart rate. Denied per 202.13 as a guide.
15. In [REDACTED] per Exhibit 142, claimant reported a fluttering type of feeling. Claimant was found to have sinus rhythm with PVCs. A few days later he went to the emergency room again and an EKG showed inferior t-wave inversion similar to previous EKG.

16. In [REDACTED] BMI showing 31.5. Blood pressure was 120/78. Head was normocephalic, atraumatic. Lungs were clear. No JVD. Cardiac exam revealed a regular rate and rhythm with normal S1 and ST without murmur, click or rub. He has good distal pulses and was without peripheral edema.
17. Claimant had a halter monitor showing normal rhythm with normal rate. Heart beats between 44 – 133 beats per minute with an average heart rate of 67. No significant arrhythmias. On two occasions, claimant reported symptoms of flutter, but was in normal sinus rhythm with occasional premature ventricular contraction on each occasion.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

In order to receive MA benefits based upon disability or blindness, claimant must be disabled or blind as defined in Title XVI of the Social Security Act (20 CFR 416.901). DHS, being authorized to make such disability determinations, utilizes the SSI definition of disability when making medical decisions on MA applications. MA-P (disability), also is known as Medicaid, which is a program designated to help public assistance claimants pay their medical expenses. Michigan administers the federal Medicaid program. In assessing eligibility, Michigan utilizes the federal regulations.

Relevant federal guidelines provide in pertinent part:

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

The federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order:

...We follow a set order to determine whether you are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

The regulations require that if disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

1. If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your medical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b). If no, the analysis continues to Step 2.
2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.909(c).
3. Does the impairment appear on a special Listing of Impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment that meets the duration requirement? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(d).
4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. Sections 200.00-204.00(f)?
5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? This step considers the residual functional capacity, age, education, and past work experience to see if the client can do other work. If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(g).

At application claimant has the burden of proof pursuant to:

...You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

Federal regulations are very specific regarding the type of medical evidence required by claimant to establish statutory disability. The regulations essentially require laboratory or clinical medical reports that corroborate claimant's claims or claimant's physicians' statements regarding disability. These regulations state in part:

...Medical reports should include --

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as sure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...The medical evidence...must be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical findings consist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) **Symptoms** are your own description of your physical or mental impairment. Your statements alone are not enough to establish that there is a physical or mental impairment.
- (b) **Signs** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be observed, apart from your statements (symptoms). Signs must be shown by medically acceptable clinical diagnostic techniques. Psychiatric signs are medically demonstrable phenomena which indicate specific psychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalities of behavior, mood, thought, memory, orientation, development, or perception. They must also be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.
- (c) **Laboratory findings** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological phenomena which can be shown by the use of a medically acceptable laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic techniques include chemical tests, electrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.),

roentgenological studies (X-rays), and psychological tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sources may also help us to understand how your impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.913(e).

...You can only be found disabled if you are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. See 20 CFR 416.905. Your impairment must result from anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which are demonstrable by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques.... 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

It is noted that Congress removed obesity from the Listing of Impairments shortly after the removal of drug addition and alcoholism. This removal reflects the view that there is a strong behavioral component to obesity. Thus, obesity in-and-of itself is not sufficient to show statutory disability.

Applying the sequential analysis herein, claimant is not ineligible at the first step as claimant is not currently working. 20 CFR 416.920(b). The analysis continues.

The second step of the analysis looks at a two-fold assessment of duration and severity. 20 CFR 416.920(c). This second step is a *de minimus* standard. Ruling any ambiguities in claimant's favor, this Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) finds that claimant meets both. The analysis continues.

The third step of the analysis looks at whether an individual meets or equals one of the Listings of Impairments. 20 CFR 416.920(d). Claimant does not. The analysis continues.

The fourth step of the analysis looks at the ability of the applicant to return to past relevant work. This step examines the physical and mental demands of the work done by claimant in the past. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

In this case, this ALJ finds that claimant cannot return to past relevant work on the basis of the medical evidence. The analysis continues.

The fifth and final step of the analysis applies the biographical data of the applicant to the Medical Vocational Grids to determine the residual functional capacity of the applicant to do other work. 20 CFR 416.920(g). After a careful review of the credible and substantial evidence on the whole record, this Administrative Law Judge concurs with the SHRT decision in finding claimant not disabled pursuant to Medical Vocational Grid Rule 202.13 as a guide.

In reaching this conclusion, as noted above, claimant's obesity is not recognized as statutorily disabling. Obesity can be treated with diet and exercise.

Claimant had significant and severe medical issues in [REDACTED]. As noted in the findings of facts, claimant went to the emergency room, collapsed, suffered a fractured skull and had intervention for cardiac issues. Claimant underwent a cardiac cath and stenting to the proximal right coronary artery which was completely occluded. However, the only neurological finding was dizziness which was completely resolved at discharge.

In [REDACTED], claimant reported a fluttering type of feeling. However, a Holter monitor showed normal rhythm with normal heart rate. Claimant had no significant arrhythmias. Claimant's blood pressure was 120-78. Claimant's cardiac exam revealed regular and rhythm with normal S1 and ST without murmur click or rub. Good distal pulses and without peripheral edema.

Clearly claimant had some significant and severe issues at the time. However, the severities of these have been resolved. As to the fluttering complaints, the Holter monitor showed normal rhythm and heart rate. Pursuant to the issues and considerations found at 20 CFR 416.913, claimant's overall medical evidence does not sufficiently show statutory disability as it is defined under the law and thus, the department's denial is upheld.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department's actions were **CORRECT**. Accordingly, the department's determination in this matter is **UPHELD**.

/s/ \_\_\_\_\_  
[REDACTED]  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: [REDACTED]

Date Mailed: [REDACTED]

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the mailing date of the rehearing decision.

JGS/jk

cc:



MAHS