## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201230351 2006 April 4, 2012 Shiawassee County DHS	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt			
HEARING DECIS	SION		
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request relephone hearing was held on April 4, 2012, from behalf of Claimant included . Par Human Services (Department) included	for a hearing. n Lansing, Michig	After due notice, a	
ISSUE			
Due to a failure to comply with the verification properly ☐ deny Claimant's application ☒ close (connection to be connected for:	•		
	_	ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS OF FA	<u>ACT</u>		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimony	•	-	
1. Claimant ☐ applied for ⊠ was receiving: ☐FI	P □FAP ⊠MA [	□SDA □CDC.	
<ol> <li>On October 17, 2011, the Claimant          \overline{\text{N}} \text{\text{N}} \text{\text{redetermination packet (DHS-1010).}</li> </ol>	vas 🗌 was no	ot provided with a	
3. Claimant was required to submit requested veri	fication by Noven	nber 1, 2011.	

20	1230351/CAA		
4.	On January 31, 2012, the Department  denied Claimant's application  closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.		
5.	On January 20, 2012, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application.  Closure of Claimant's case.  reduction of Claimant's benefits.		
6.	On January 30, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.		
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
	epartment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).		
im (fo	e MA program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is plemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department rmerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program rsuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.		
Ho De	this case, the Claimant acknowledged receiving the redetermination packet. wever the Claimant was unable to provide proof the packet was ever returned to the partment. In addition, I questioned the Claimant's credibility when her statement on request for hearing form contradicted her testimony during the hearing.		
	erefore, I find the Department's actions were in conformity with the applicable laws d policies and therefore <b>affirm</b> the Department's actions in this matter.		
sta	sed upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons ated on the record, I conclude the Department properly closed the Claimant's MA se.		
	<u>DECISION AND ORDER</u>		
	and, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the asons stated on the record, find the Department did act properly		
	cordingly, the Department's decision is <b>AFFIRMED</b> for the reasons stated on the cord.		
	/s/ Corey A. Arendt		
	Administrative Law Judge		
	For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services		

Date Signed: April 5, 2012
Date Mailed: April 5, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## CAA/cr

