STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: 2012 30345 1005

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care

June 14, 2012 Oakland County DHS (02)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 14, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Assistance Payments Supervisor, and the telephone manager.

ISSUE

Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly 🖾 deny Claimant's application 🗌 close Claimant's case 🗌 reduce Claimant's benefits for:

Family Independence Program (FIP)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

(CDC)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant 🛛 applied for 🗌 was receiving: 🖾 FIP 🔤 FAP 🔤 MA 🔤 SDA 🔤 CDC.
- 2. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by November 14, 2011. Exhibit 2.

- On November 16, 2012, the Department
 ☑ denied Claimant's application.
 ☑ closed Claimant's case.
 - reduced Claimant's benefits .
- 4. On November 16, 2012, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application.
 closure of Claimant's case.
 reduction of Claimant's benefits.
- 5. On November 18, 2012 the Claimant provided some, but not all, of the information requested by the Verification Checklist which the Department received.
- 6. The Department did not receive proof of Claimant's son's school attendance.
- 7. On January 27, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the
 - \boxtimes denial of claimant's application.
 - closure of Claimant's case.
 - reduction of Claimant's benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☑ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known

as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, the Department is entitled to seek verification at application regarding information it must have to determine eligibility for the applied for benefits. In this case because the Department had information that the Claimant might be employed, it needed to verify employment or loss of employment, bank account information, shelter verification and proof of her minor child's enrollment in school. The Claimant provided some of the information on November 18, 2011, after the due date, but did not provide proof of her son's enrollment in school. Because the Department did not receive the requested information by the due date it denied the Claimant's application. The Claimant did not fully respond to the verification request.

The Claimant testified that she had mailed the information on November 5, 2011, but the Department had no record of receipt of any documents from the Claimant, other than those it received from the Claimant on November 18, 2011. The Claimant offered no other corroborating evidence that she had previously provided the Department the information, and was given an opportunity to at the hearing to review the paperwork she brought with her to the hearing to see is she had any documents to offer. Based upon the documents provided and the testimony of the parties, it is determined that the Department properly denied the Claimant's application for FIP due to Claimant's failure to verify information.

Based upon th	e above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons
stated on the r	ecord, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
properly	improperly

] closed Claimant's case.

 \boxtimes denied Claimant's application.

reduced Claimant's benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Ju	dge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions
of Law, and for the reason	s stated on the record, finds that the Department
🔀 did act properly	did not act properly.

Accordingly,	the	Department's	decision	is	\boxtimes	AFFIRMED		REVERSED	for	the
reasons stated on the record.										

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1.

Lynn M. Ferris

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 20, 2012

Date Mailed: June 20, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail to:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/hw

201230345/ LMF

