STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909 (877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 334-9505

IN THE M	MATTER OF:	D	
	,	Docket No. 2012-3034 HHS Case No.	
Ap	ppellant/		
DECISION AND ORDER			
	ter is before the undersigned Administrative 431.200 <i>et seq.</i> , upon the Appellant's reque		
	notice, a hearing was held on d without representation. She had no witnes , Appeals Review Officer. Her witne		
<u>ISSUE</u>			
Die	d the Department properly establish the Ap	pellant's Home Help Services (HHS)?	
FINDING	S OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:			
1.	At the time of hearing the Appellant (Appellant's Exhibit 1)	is a year-old Medicaid beneficiary	
2.	The Appellant is afflicted with the sequela Exhibit A, page 15)	of a "left fractured ankle." (Department's	
3.	On, the ASW (Approval Notice informing her that her How with an effective date of) sent the Appellant a Service HS had been approved in the amount of . (Department's Exhibit A, page 2)	
4.	,	that the payment would be prorated tions. (Department's Exhibit A, page 8)	
5.	The ASW testified that on face to face h cast and signed the application for HHS (See Testimony)		

Docket No. 2012-3034 HHS Decision and Order

- 6. The Appellant testified that she actually applied for HHS in the ASW inattention she would have been approved for HHS months sooner. (See Testimony of the Appellant)
- 7. The instant appeal was received by the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) for the Department of Community Health on (Appellant's Exhibit #1)

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

Home Help Services are provided to enable functionally limited individuals to live independently and receive care in the least restrictive, preferred settings. These activities must be certified by a physician and may be provided by individuals or by private or public agencies.

COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT

The Adult Services Comprehensive Assessment (DHS-324) is the primary tool for determining need for services. The comprehensive assessment will be completed on all open cases, whether a home help payment will be made or not. ASCAP, the automated workload management system provides the format for the comprehensive assessment and all information will be entered on the computer program.

Requirements for the comprehensive assessment include, but are not limited to:

- A comprehensive assessment will be completed on all new cases.
- A face-to-face contact is required with the customer in his/her place of residence.
- An interview must be conducted with the caregiver, if applicable.
- Observe a copy of the customer's social security card.
- Observe a picture I.D. of the caregiver, if applicable.
- The assessment must be updated as often as necessary, but minimally at the six month review and annual redetermination.
- A release of information must be obtained when requesting documentation from confidential sources and/or sharing information from the agency record.

Docket No. 2012-3034 HHS Decision and Order

 Follow specialized rules of confidentiality when ILS cases have companion APS cases.

Functional Assessment

The **Functional Assessment** module of the **ASCAP** comprehensive assessment is the basis for service planning and for the HHS payment.

Conduct a functional assessment to determine the customer's ability to perform the following activities:

Activities of Daily Living (ADL)

- Eating
- Toileting
- Bathing
- Grooming
- Dressing
- Transferring
- Mobility

Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL)

- •• Taking Medication
- Meal Preparation and Cleanup
- Shopping
- •• Laundry
- •• Light Housework

Functional Scale ADL's and IADL's are assessed according to the following five-point scale:

1. Independent

Performs the activity safely with no human assistance.

2. Verbal Assistance

Performs the activity with verbal assistance such as reminding, guiding or encouraging.

3. Some Human Assistance

Performs the activity with some direct physical assistance and/or assistive technology.

4. Much Human Assistance

Docket No. 2012-3034 HHS Decision and Order

Performs the activity with a great deal of human assistance and/or assistive technology.

5. Dependent

Does not perform the activity even with human assistance and/or assistive technology.

Note: HHS payments may only be authorized for needs assessed at the 3 level or greater.

Time and Task The worker will allocate time for each task assessed a rank of 3 or higher, based on interviews with the client and provider, observation of the client's abilities and use of the reasonable time schedule (RTS) as a guide. The RTS can be found in ASCAP under the Payment module, Time and Task screen. When hours exceed the RTS rationale must be provided.

Adult Service Manual (ASM), §363, pp. 2, 3 of 24, 9-1-2008.

Service Plan Development

Address the following factors in the development of the service plan:

- Do not authorize HHS payments to a responsible relative or legal dependent of the client.
- The extent to which others in the home are able and available to provide the needed services. Authorize HHS only for the benefit of the client and not for others in the home. If others are living in the home, prorate the IADL's by at least 1/2, more if appropriate.
- The availability of services currently provided free of charge. A written statement by the provider that he is no longer able to furnish the service at no cost is sufficient for payment to be authorized as long as the provider is not a responsible relative of the client.
- HHS may be authorized when the client is receiving other home care services if the services are not duplicative (same service for same time period).

(Emphasis supplied) Supra, p. 5 of 24.

The Department witness testified that on in-home assessment the evidence showed that the Appellant required assistance with laundry, shopping, meal preparation, mobility and bathing

Docket No. 2012-3034 HHS Decision and Order

– as she had a cast on which extended to her hip. She added that ASW on in-home assessment based her grant on her observations in the home to include hands-on help with bathing, housework, laundry, shopping and meal preparation.

The Appellant testified that she had applied much earlier in the year but never got a return call from the ASW. She said she "hand delivered all of the application materials" when asked by the ALJ if she had any proof of service.

On review, the Home Help Services program is not a static provider of payment. It is anticipated that benefit levels will wax and wane during the course of HHS enrollment. This is necessary to account for or adjust to changes in the client's condition for the better or the worse.

The Administrative Law Judge finds that the comprehensive assessment was accurate when made and that it was drawn according to policy. The Appellant has not preponderated her burden of proof that the Department erred by causing delay in the approval of HHS – she had no documentary evidence to preponderate on that issue anyway. The ALJ has no jurisdiction to intercede in the personnel matters of the various DHS offices. The Appellant was advised to contact the ASW supervisor or her elected representative if she remains aggrieved.

Based on the information presented at hearing I found the HHS policy to be correctly applied and the Appellant's benefits properly established as of Home Help Services program.

The Appellant has failed to preponderate her burden of proof.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department properly applied policy during the in home assessment and the establishment of the Appellant's HHS payment.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

The Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Dale Malewska
Administrative Law Judge
for Olga Dazzo, Director
Michigan Department of Community Health

Docket No. 2012-3034 HHS Decision and Order

cc:

Date Mailed: <u>1/10/2012</u>

*** NOTICE ***

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filling of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.