STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-30328 Issue No.: 2000; 3000 Case No.:

Hearing Date: March 1, 2012 County: Wayne (15)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Marc h 1, 2012, from Detroit, Mi chigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claim ant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly:	
☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits	
for:	
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☑ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☑ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? 	☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)? ☐ State Emergency Services (SER)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On November 1, 2011, the Department:

	 ☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits 		
	under the following program(s):		
	☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ AMP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☐ SER.		
2.	On January 30, 2012, Claimant fil ed a request for hearing c oncerning the Department's action.		
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
Eligibi	tment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges lity Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Manual (ERM).		
Respo 42 US Agend throug	e Family Independence Program (FIP) was established purs uant to the Personal possibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, acc 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence by) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ph Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program we October 1, 1996.		
progra impler Regul Agend	e Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [form erly known as the Food Stamp (FS) am] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is mented by the federal r egulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc e by) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 2001 through Rule 400.3015.		
☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence Agency) administers the MA pr ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 400.105.			
	e Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is istered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .		
for dis	e State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance abled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human tes (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA		

Rule 400.3180.	et seq., and 20 00 /	AACS, Rule 400.3151 through
☐ The Child Development and Car and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, 1990, and the Personal Responsibili The program is implemented by Tit and 99. The Depart ment provides 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.50	the Chaild Care and ity and Work Opportule le 45 of the Code of servic es to adults a	Developm ent Block Grant of Developm ent Block Grant of 1995. Fede ral Regulations, Parts 995. Parts of the Children pursuant to MCL.
☐ The State Emergency Relief (SESER program is administer ed pursu 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).	ant to MCL 400.10,	•

The law pr ovides that disposition may be made of a contest ed case by s tipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: reinstate Claimant's MA and FAP benefits, effective November 1, 2011.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wish es to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

- Initiate removal of the child support sanction on Claimant's MA and FAP cases engaging the assistance of the Office of Child Support, if necessary.
- 2. Initiate reinstatement of Claimant's MA and FAP cases, effective November 1, 2011, if Claimant is otherwise eligible for MA and FAP.
- 3. Issue FAP supplements November 1, 2011 and ongoing for any missed or increased payments.

Susan C. Burke
Susan C. Burke
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 3/7/12

Date Mailed: 3/7/12

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/sm

