# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909 (877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 334-9505

IN THE MATTER OF:

1.

,		Case No.	2012-30234 QHP
Appellant/			
DECISION AND ORDER			
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 <i>et seq.</i> , upon the Appellant's request for a hearing.			
After due notice, a hear represented by his grandm			The Appellant was
was re Grievances. Medicaid Health Plan ("MH	is a Departme	Officer, appea	visor of Appeals and red as a witness for nity Health contracted
ISSUE			
Did the Medicaid Hinserts?	lealth Plan properly deny	the Appella	nt's request for shoe
FINDINGS OF FACT			

2. The Appellant has been diagnosed with pes planus and gait instability. (Exhibit 1, pages 7 and 9)

The Appellant is a -year-old Medicaid beneficiary who is enrolled in

; a Department of Community Health contracted MHP.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial

evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

3. On a request for shoes inserts (L3000) was submitted to the MHP by Appellant's provider. (Exhibit 1, pages 8-9)

- 4. On the control of the MHP sent a letter to the Appellant stating that the request for foot inserts was denied because they are not a covered benefit for the diagnosis provided. (Exhibit 1, pages 2-4)
- 5. On contesting the denial was filed on the Appellant's behalf.
- 6. On listing diagnoses of pes planus and gait instability. (Exhibits 1, pages 5-7)

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

On May 30, 1997, the Department received approval from the Health Care Financing Administration, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, allowing Michigan to restrict Medicaid beneficiaries' choice to obtain medical services only from specified Medicaid Health Plans.

The Respondent is one of those Medicaid Health Plans.

The covered services that the Contractor has available for enrollees must include, at a minimum, the covered services listed below (List omitted by Administrative Law Judge). The Contractor may limit services to those which are medically necessary and appropriate, and which conform to professionally accepted standards of care. Contractors must operate consistent with all applicable Medicaid provider manuals and publications for coverages and limitations. If new services are added to the Michigan Medicaid Program, or if services are expanded, eliminated, or otherwise changed, the Contractor must implement the changes consistent with State direction in accordance with the provisions of Contract Section 1-Z.

Article II-G, Scope of Comprehensive Benefit Package. MDCH contract (Contract) with the Medicaid Health Plans, September 30, 2004.

The major components of the Contractor's utilization management plan must encompass, at a minimum, the following:

- Written policies with review decision criteria and procedures that conform to managed health care industry standards and processes.
- A formal utilization review committee directed by the Contractor's medical director to oversee the utilization review process.
- Sufficient resources to regularly review the effectiveness of the utilization review process and to make changes to the process as needed.
- An annual review and reporting of utilization review activities and outcomes/interventions from the review.

The Contractor must establish and use a written prior approval policy and procedure for utilization management purposes. The Contractor may not use such policies and procedures to avoid providing medically necessary services within the coverages established under the Contract. The policy must ensure that the review criteria for authorization decisions are applied consistently and require that the reviewer consult with the requesting provider when appropriate. The policy must also require that utilization management decisions be made by a health care professional who has appropriate clinical expertise regarding the service under review.

Article II-P, Utilization Management, Contract, September 30, 2004.

Section 2.24 of the Medical Supplier portion of the Medicaid Provider Manual, as effective October 1, 2011, addresses orthopedic footwear.

#### 2.24 ORTHOPEDIC FOOTWEAR

#### Definition

Orthopedic footwear may include, but are not limited to, orthopedic shoes, surgical boots, removable inserts, Thomas heels, and lifts.

### **Standards of Coverage**

**Orthopedic shoes and inserts** may be covered if any of the following applies:

 Required to accommodate a leg length discrepancy of ¼ inch or greater or a size discrepancy between both feet of one size or greater.

- Required to accommodate needs related to a partial foot prosthesis, clubfoot, or plantar fascitis.
- Required to accommodate a brace (extra depth only are covered).

**Surgical Boots or Shoes** may be covered to facilitate healing following foot surgery, trauma or a fracture.

#### **Noncovered Items**

Shoes and inserts are noncovered for the conditions of:

- Pes Planus or Talipes Planus (flat foot)
- Adductus metatarsus
- Calcaneus Valgus
- Hallux Valgus

Standard shoes are also noncovered.

#### **Documentation**

Documentation must be less than 60 days old and include the following:

- Diagnosis/medical condition related to the service requested.
- Medical reasons for specific shoe type and/or modification.
- Functional need of the beneficiary.
- Reason for replacement, such as growth or medical change.

**CSHCS requires** a prescription from an appropriate pediatric subspecialist.

### **PA Requirements**

PA is not required for the following items if the Standards of Coverage are met:

- Surgical boots or shoes.
- Shoe modifications, such as lifts, heel wedges, or metatarsal bar wedges up to established quantity limits.
- Orthopedic shoe to accommodate a brace.
- Orthopedic shoes and inserts when the following medical conditions are present:
  - o Plantar Fascial Fibromatosis
  - Unequal Leg Length (Acquired)
  - Talipes Equinovarus (Clubfoot)
  - Longitudinal Deficiency of Lower Limb, Not Elsewhere Classified
  - Unilateral, without Mention of Complication (Partial Foot Amputation)

- Unilateral, Complicated (Partial Foot Amputation)
- Bilateral, without Mention of Complication (Partial Foot Amputation)
- o Bilateral, Complicated (Partial Foot Amputation)

# PA is required for:

- All other medical conditions related to the need for orthopedic shoes and inserts not listed above.
- All orthopedic shoes and inserts if established quantity limits are exceeded.
- Medical need beyond the Standards of Care.
- Beneficiaries under the age of 21, replacement within six months.
- Beneficiaries over the age of 21, replacement within one year.

# **Payment Rules**

These are **purchase only** items.

Medicaid Provider Manual, Medical Supplier Section, October 1, 2011, Pages 49-50.

On (L3000), the Appellant's provider submitted a request for foot inserts (L3000) to the MHP. The Appellant's diagnoses were listed as pes planus and gait instability. (Exhibit 1, pages 8-9) The Supervisor of Appeals and Grievances stated that the requested inserts are not covered for the Appellant's diagnosis under Medicaid policy. (Supervisor of Appeals and Grievances Testimony) It appears that in the MHP received additional documentation. However, the Appellant's diagnoses were still documented as pes planus and gait instability. (Exhibit 1, page 7)

The Appellant's grandmother disagrees with the denial. She testified that the Appellant is disabled and trips. The Appellant's grandmother stated she was disappointed and did not understand why they disagree because the inserts make the Appellant walk better and do better. (Grandmother Testimony)

While this ALJ sympathizes with the Appellant's circumstances, she must review the action taken by the Department under the applicable Medicaid policy. Based on the evidence, the Appellant did not meet the Medicaid standards of coverage for shoe insert orthotics. The Standards of Coverage found in the Medicaid Provider Manual only allow for coverage of orthopedic footwear in certain circumstances. The submitted documentation did not establish that the shoe orthotics were required to accommodate a leg length discrepancy of ¼ inch or greater or a size discrepancy between both feet of one size or greater, to accommodate needs related to a partial foot prosthesis, clubfoot, or plantar fasciitis, or to accommodate a brace. Further, the Medicaid Provider Manual policy specifies that shoes and inserts are noncovered for the condition of pes planus Accordingly, the Department's denial must be upheld.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the MHP properly denied the Appellant's request for shoe inserts.

### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

The Medicaid Health Plan's decision is AFFIRMED.

Colleen Lack
Administrative Law Judge
for Olga Dazzo, Director
Michigan Department of Community Health

cc:

Date Mailed: <u>4/5/2012</u>

#### \*\*\* NOTICE \*\*\*

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.