STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: May 31, 2012 County:

201229935 5026

Oakland DHS (02)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 31, 2012 from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on included the above named claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (DHS) included , Specialist.

ISSUE

The issue is whether DHS properly denied Claimant's application for State Emergency Relief for rent assistance on the basis that Claimant's rent was not affordable.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On 12/14/11, Claimant applied for SER for assistance with a rent arrearage.
- 2. At the time of the SER application, Claimant's ongoing rent was \$450/month.
- 3. At the time of the SER application, Claimant had \$0/month in income.
- 4. On 1/11/12, DHS denied Claimant's SER application on the basis that Claimant's rent was not affordable.
- 5. On 1/17/12, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the application denial.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and by final administrative rules filed with the Secretary of State on October 28, 1993. MAC R 400.7001-400.7049. Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) policies are found in the Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

SER is a program which offers assistance for various client emergencies. Clients may seek assistance through SER for any of the following: heat or gas bills, water bills, electricity bills, home repairs, rent or mortgage arrearages, relocation expenses including rent and security deposit, food, burials or migrant hospitalization.

DHS specialists are directed to authorize SER for relocation services only if the SER group has sufficient income to meet ongoing housing expenses. ERM 207 at 1. An SER group that cannot afford to pay their ongoing housing costs plus any utility obligations will not be able to retain their housing, even if SER is authorized. *Id.* The total housing obligation cannot exceed 75% of the group's total net countable income. *Id.* ERM 207 provides instruction on how to calculate housing affordability; the applicable policy states:

Multiply the group's total net countable income by 75%. The result is the maximum "total housing obligation" the group can have, based on their income, and be eligible for SER housing services; and refer to the table at the end of this item for any increases in the basic 75% test if the group is renting and heat, electric or water/ cooking gas is included in the rent. Multiply the resulting percentage by the group's total net countable income. The result is the absolute "total housing obligation" the group can have and be eligible for SER housing services.

No evidence was taken concerning which utilities are included in Claimant's rent. However, even if Claimant's landlord paid all of Claimant's utilities, Claimant's rent of \$450/month is not affordable based on a \$0/month income. It is found that DHS properly denied Claimant's SER application based on Claimant not being able to afford his rent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that DHS properly denied Claimant's SER application dated 12/14/11 requesting rent assistance.

The actions taken by DHS are AFFIRMED.

Thurtin Dordoch

Christian Gardocki Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

201229935/ CG

Date Signed: June 7, 2012

Date Mailed: June 7, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CG/hw

