STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-29791 Issue No.: 2006 Case No.: June 7, 2012 Hearing Date: County: Wayne (55)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 7, 2012, from Detroit, Mi chigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the claimant. Participants on be half of the Dep artment of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

rification requirements, did the Department Due to a failure to comply with the ve properly deny Claimant's application 🛛 close Claimant's case 🗌 reduce Claimant's benefits for:

Γ	

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- 1. Cla imant applied for was receiving: FIP FAP MA SDA CDC.
- 2. Claimant was required to participate in a telephone interview on November 15, 2011, and the claimant took part in this telephone interview.
- 3. On November 29, 2011, and December 7, 2011, the department sent the claimant's bank requests for verification of assets . Both requests were authoriz ed by the claimant

- 4. On January 1, 2012, the Department
 - denied Claimant's application.
 - \boxtimes closed Claimant's case.

reduced Claimant's benefits.

- 4. The Department did not produce a notice of case action.
- 5. On January 30, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of claimant's application.

 $\overline{\boxtimes}$ closure of Claimant's case.

reduction of Claimant's benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☐ The Family Independence Progr am (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-3131. FI P replac ed the Aid to Depe ndent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [form erly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal r egulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers FAP pur suant to MCL 400. 10, *et seq*., and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015

The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistar	nce
for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly kno	wn
as the F amily Independence Agency) administ ers the SDA program pursuant to M	CL
400.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.	

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98

and 99. T he Department provides servic es to adult s and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, the depar tment testified that it closed the claima nt's case bec ause it felt that the documentation was too old (over 30 days - policy does not list documentation over a certain period of time as not being valid for the purpose of verification) or was not forthcoming from the claimant's bank. The cl aimant t estified that he attempted to get further documentation from his bank but found the bank uncooper ative and that he provided all the documentation that he could procure. T he department failed to apply BAM 130 in using "the best information available."

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly

🔀 closed Claimant's case.

] denied Claimant's application.

reduced Claimant's benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative	Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions
of Law, and for the	reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department
did act properly	🖾 did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Depart tment's decision is \Box AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Reopen the claimant's AMP back to the closure date of January 1, 2012.

m

Michael J. Bennane Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 25, 2012

Date Mailed: June 25, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or

reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/cl

