STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-29484 2006 May 17, 2012 Macomb (50-36)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jonathan W	/. Owens	
HEARING DE	CISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administ and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request person hearing was held on May 17, 20 Participants on behalf of Claimant include Participants on behalf (Department) included	t for a hearing. Afte D12, from Sterling ed Claimant's repr	er due notice, an in- Heights, Michigan. esentative,
ISSUE		
Did the Department properly \boxtimes deny Claimar for:	nt's application 🗌 c	lose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS O	F FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material	-	rial, and substantial
Claimant ☑ applied for benefits ☐ received benefits for:		
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☑ Medical Assistance (MA). 	State Disability	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).

2.	On November 7, 2011, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case due to non cooperation with child support.
3.	On November 7, 2011, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On January 11, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the implication in the lambda denial of the application. in closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence jency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 rough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program fective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Jency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social ecurity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Jency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human ervices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through alle 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
In the instant case, Claimant's representative filed an application for MA benefits for Claimant on October 31, 2011. The Department discovered that Claimant was in non-cooperation status with the Office of Child Support. Claimant had been sent three different communications instructing her to contact the Office of Child Support beginning in May of 2010. Claimant was not present to testify regarding her actions. The Department witness from the Office of Child Support testified that Claimant was sent communications requesting she contact their office to provide information regarding her child's father and her relationship with her child's father. To date, the Office of Child Support has not received any communications from Claimant.
BEM 225 requires the Department at application to issue a verification checklist to an applicant if they are in non-cooperation status at the time of application. The Department is, according to policy, to allow the applicant 10 days to become cooperative with the Office of Child Support. In this case, the Department processed and denied Claimant's application due to Claimant's failure to be in cooperation with Child Support without allowing Claimant the 10 days to become cooperative.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ properly closed Claimant's case □ improperly denied Claimant's application □ improperly closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Re-register Claimant's application for MA dated October 31, 2011;

2. Issue a verification checklist, giving Claimant and/or her representative 10 days to cooperate with the Office of Child Support;

3 Process and issue a written determination

Jonathan W. Owens
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 23, 2012

Date Mailed: May 23, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JWO/pf

