STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:
2012-9262

Issue No.:
2001

Case No.:
Image: County in the second s

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: C. Adam Purnell

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 3, 2012, from Lansing, Michigan. Claima nt personally appeared and pr ovided testimony. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included (Eligibility Specialist).

ISSUE

Did the Department pr operly determine Claimant's eligib ility for Medical Assistanc e (MA) or "Medicaid" and Adult Medical Program (AMP)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On October 4, 2011, Claimant s ubmitted a hearing request for a hearing regarding "Medicaid."
- 2. The Department provided the Administrative Law Judge with a hearing packet that contained nothing more than t he hearing summary and the Claimant's request for hearing.
- 3. The hearing summary indicated the following: "Notice Reason(s) for EDG: 1) Exceeds income limit 2) eligibility denied. AMP Closed."

4. The Depar tment did not provide any doc umentation in the he aring pack et regarding Claimant's MA or AMP case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established purs uant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 t hrough R 400.3131. FI P replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015.

The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence Agency) administers the MA pr ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and MC L 400.105.

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3 151 through R 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015.

Additionally, the client has t he right to request a hearing for any action, failur e to act or undue delay by the department. BAM 105. T he department provides an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine its appropriateness. BAM 600.

The regulations that gov ern the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are contained in the Michigan Administrative Code (Mich Admin Code) Rules 400.901 through 400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to a recipient who is a ggrieved by an agency action resulting in suspension, reduction, discontinuance, or termination of assistance. Mich Admin Code 400.903(1).

The application forms and each written notice of case action inform clients of their right to a hearing. BAM 600. These include an explanation of how and where to file a hearing request, and the right to be ass isted by and r epresented by anyone the client chooses. BAM 600. The client must receive a written notice of all c ase actions affecting eligibility or amount of benefits. When a ca se action is complet ed it must specify: (1) the action being taken by the department; (2) the reason(s) for the action; (3) the specific manual item(s) that cites the legal base for an action, or the regulation, or law itself. BAM 600.

For each hearing not resolved at a prehearing conference, the department is required to complete a Hearing Summary (DHS-3050). BAM 600. In the hearing summary, all cas e identifiers and notations on ca se status must be complete; see RFF 3050. The DHS-3050 narrative must include **all** of the following: (1) clear st atement of the case action, including all programs involved in the case action; (2) facts which led to the action; (3) policy which supported the action; (4) correct address of the AHR or, if none, the client; (5) description of the documents the local office ce intends to offer as exhibits at the hearing. BAM 600.

During the hearing, the partici pants may give opening statem ents. BAM 600. Following the opening statement(s), if any, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) directs the DHS case pres enter to explain the position of the loc al office. BAM 600. The hear ing summary, or highlight s of it, may be read into the record at this time. BAM 600. The hearing summary may be used as a guide in presenting the ev idence, witnesses and exhibits that support the Department's position. BAM 600.

Department workers who attend t he hearings, are instructed to **always** include the following in planning the case presentation: (1) an explanation of the action(s) taken; (2) a summary of the policy or laws used to determine that the action taken was correct; (3) any clarifications by central office staff of the policy or laws used; (4) the facts which led to the conclusion that the policy is relevant to the disputed case action; (5) the DHS procedures ensuring that the client received adequate or ti mely notice of the proposed action and affording all other rights. BAM 600.

The ALJ determines the facts based only on evidence introduced at the hearing, draws a conclusion of law, and determines whether DHS policy was appropriately applied. The ALJ issues a final decision unless the AL J believes that the applicable law does not

support DHS policy or DHS policy is silent on the issue being considered. BAM 600. In that case, the ALJ recommends a decision and the policy hearing authority makes the final decision. BAM 600.

In the instant matter, the Department has failed to clear ly communicate to this Administrative Law Judge the precise nature of the department's actions. The department's Hearing Summary (DHS-3050) does not comply with the requirements set forth in BAM 600 as it does not contain a c lear statement of the case action or facts which led to the action. BAM 600. Rather, the DHS-3050 contains very little information other than the Claimant's AMP case was denied for excess income. There were no documents in the hearing pack et other than the hearing summary. Unfortunately, the DHS-3050 did not provide any insight regarding the relevant department action giving rise to Claimant's hearing request.

Based on the lack of documentation and the inability of the department representatives to explain the department action, this Admini strative Law Judge is unable to make a reasoned, informed decision. Ac cordingly, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the department has failed to carry its burden of proof and did not provide information necessary to enable this ALJ to determine whether the department follo wed policy as required under BAM 600.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly when. did not act properly when it determined Claimant's eligibility for AMP and/or MA benefits.

Accordingly, the Department's \boxtimes AMP \square FIP \square FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. The Department shall redetermine Claimant's eligibility for MA and AMP benefits back to the date of closure.

The Department shall also issue Claimant any retroactive benefits to the extent policy provide such benefits are warranted.

<u>/s/</u>

C. Adam Purnell Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 9, 2012

Date Mailed: April 9, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAP/ds

