STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-29228

Issue No.: 2014

Case No.:

Hearing Date: May 23, 2012 County: Wayne (18)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 23, 2012, from Detroit, Mich igan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

<u>ISSUE</u>

Due to exclusiones income, did the Department properly ☐ deny the Claimant's applic ation close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?			
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:				
1. Cla imant applied for benefits for:	☑ received benefits for:			
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	 ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA). ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC). 			

2.	On January 31, 2012, the Department			
3.	On January 13, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure. reduction.			
4.	On January 20, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting			
	the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☐ reduction of benefits.			
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
	epartment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).			
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .			
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.			
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.			
☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.				
for as	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial ass istance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.			
	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establishhed by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of			

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adult and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, Department policy requires the department to explore all MA categories for possible qualification. The department did not provide an MA budget to support the closure of the claimant's MA, but produced documentation of the claimant's and her children's SSI income to support the closure of the claimant's MA, by providing a FA P budget as well as further documentation of the claimant's income.

CHOICE OF CATEGORY

Persons may qualify under more than one MA category. Federal la w gives them the right to the most beneficial category. The most beneficial category is the one that results in eligibility or the least amount of excess income.

Persons may receive both Medicare Sa vings Program benefit s (BEM 165) and coverage under another MA category; see Medicare Savings Program in this item. However, clients are not expected to know such things as:

Ineligibility for a FIP grant does not mean MA coverage must end.

The LIF ca tegory is u sually the most beneficia I category for families because families who become ineligible for LIF may qualify for TMA or Special N/Support.

The Healthy Kids categories are usually the next most beneficial categories for persons under age 19 and pregnant women.

The most beneficial category may change when a client's circumstances change.

Therefore, you must consider all the MA category options in order for the client's right of choice to be meaningful. (BEM 105, p. 2).

In the instant case the department found that the claimant no longer qualified for the MA she had been receiv ing due to an increase in inc ome. However, the department produced no evidence of an attempt to explor e qualifications under various other MA programs, such as her qualification for a deductible.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and stated on the record, the Administrative La income, the Department properly	d Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons w Judge concludes t hat, due to excess ⊠ improperly
☐ denied Claimant's application☐ reduced Claimant's benefits☐ closed Claimant's case	
for: AMP FIP FAP MA SDA	A CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department

2012-29228/MJB

did act properly	☑ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Depart is \square AFFIRMED $ ot\boxtimes$ RE	ment's \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC decision EVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
	IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF

1. Reopen the claimant's MA to the closure date of January 31, 2012, and "consider all MA category options" and their possible application to the claimant's circumstances.

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 13, 2012

Date Mailed: June 13, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

2012-29228/MJB

MJB/cl

