## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MAT	TER OF:	Reg. No: Issue No:	201228747 2006	
		Case No: Hearing Date:	Case No:	
ADMINISTR	ATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully	/		
	HEARING DE	CISION		
and MCL 4 telephone he Participants	s before the undersigned Administ 00.37 following Claimant's reque earing was held on Wednesday, No on behalf of Claimant included of Human Services (Department) in	st for a hearing. Af //arch 14, 2012, from L Particip	ter due notice, a	
	ISSUE	<u> </u>		
	ailure to comply with the verification  clos			
Food Ass	ndependence Program (FIP)? sistance Program (FAP)? Assistance (MA)?	☐ State Disability Ass ☐ Child Development		
	FINDINGS O	F FACT		
	strative Law Judge, based upon t the whole record, including testimo	•	-	
1.	Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was ☐CDC.	receiving:   FIP   F	AP ⊠MA □SDA	
2.	Claimant ⊠ was □ was r (DHS-1010).	ot provided with a	Redetermination	
3.	Claimant was required to October 3, 2011.	submit requested	verification by	

4.	On November 19, 2012, the Department $\square$ denied Claimant's application $\boxtimes$ closed Claimant's case $\square$ reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.		
5.	On November 19, 2012, the Department sent notice of the $\square$ denial of Claimant's application. $\boxtimes$ closure of Claimant's case. $\square$ reduction of Claimant's benefits.		
6.	On January 10, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.		
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
	policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges nual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).		
Responsibilit 42 USC 601 Agency) adn through Rule	ily Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal by and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ninisters FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 et 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ober 1, 1996.		
program] is implemented Regulations	d Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence inisters FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 e 400.3015.		
Security Act The Departm	ical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). nent (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.		
for disabled as the Famil	e Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known by Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL eq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.		
and XX of t 1990, and th The program and 99. Th	d Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE he Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of e Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. It is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 e Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL d 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.		

Additionally, although the Claimant testified that he did not receive a copy of the Redetermination form, the Department established that it mailed the form to his correct mailing address on record at the time.

The proper mailing and addressing of a letter creates a presumption of receipt. That presumption may be rebutted by evidence. Stacey v Sankovich, 19 Mich App 638 (1969); Good v Detroit Automobile Inter-Insurance Exchange, 67 Mich App 270 (1976). In this case, the Claimant failed to rebut the presumption of receipt..

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly closed Claimant's case. denied Claimant's application. reduced Claimant's benefits.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department $\square$ did act properly. $\square$ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is $\boxtimes$ <b>AFFIRMED</b> $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
/s/
Kevin Scully
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 15, 2012

Date Mailed: March 15, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

## 201228747/KS

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## KS/tb

CC:

