STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201228724 Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

2018; 1021 May 22, 2012

Wayne County DHS #18

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 22, 2012, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included . Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included

## ISSUE

Did the Department properly  $\boxtimes$  deny Claimant's application  $\square$  close Claimant's case for:

	Х	
I		
ł		

Family Independence Program (FIP)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

- Medical Assistance (MA)?
- Child Development and Care (CDC)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant 🔀 applied for benefits 🗍 received benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).

State Disability Assistance (SDA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

- On January 4, 2012, the Department
   Image: A structure of the constraint of the
- On January 4, 2012, the Department sent
   ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure.
- 4. On January 10, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial of the application. □ closure of the case.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The FIP was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The AMP is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

The Family Independence Program (FIP), Refugee Assistance Program Cash (RAPC) and State Disability Assistance (SDA) are cash assistance programs designed to help individuals and families become self-sufficient.

When an individual applies for cash assistance, Bridges determines group composition and builds an eligibility determination group (EDG) for these programs in the following order: FIP, RAPC and SDA. Cash assistance is available to eligibility determination groups who meet all of the non-financial and financial requirements that are needed to determine eligibility and calculate benefit amounts.

To be eligible for FIP both of the following must be true:

- The group must include a dependent child who lives with a legal parent, stepparent or other qualifying caretaker.
- The group cannot include an adult who has accumulated more than 60 TANF funded months, beginning October 1, 1996 or any other time limits in the Family Independence Program; see BEM 234.

In this case, the Claimant's group does not include a dependent child and the Claimant is not a dependent of anyone else. Therefore, the Department's denial of the Claimant's FIP application was appropriate.

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is available to individuals who meet all the eligibility factors. Specialists must send or give the client a DHS-283, Adult Medical Program Eligibility Notice to inform them of the freeze. Applications received during the freeze on AMP enrollments must be registered and denied using "applicant did not meet other eligibility requirements" as the denial reason.

During the hearing, the Department indicated the Claimant's AMP application was denied because the program was currently closed to new enrollments. On January 4, 2012, the Department communicated this information to the Claimant in accordance with policy. Therefore, I find the Department acted in accordance with Department policy in denying the Claimant's application for AMP benefits.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, I find the Department

properly denied Claimant's application
properly closed Claimant's case

improperly denied Claimant's application
 improperly closed Claimant's case

for:  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\square$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC.

During the application phase, the Claimant did not apply for any type of benefit relating to a disability.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department  $\square$  did act properly.  $\square$  did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's  $\boxtimes$  AMP  $\boxtimes$  FIP  $\square$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC decision is  $\boxtimes$  AFFIRMED  $\square$  REVERSED.

/s/

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 23, 2012

Date Mailed: May 24, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

### CAA/cr

CC: