STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

| DEPARTMENT OF HOMP | IN SERVICES | |
|--|--|--|
| IN THE MATTER OF: | | |
| | Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: | 201228713 1021 November 1, 2012 Oakland |
| ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully | | |
| HEARING DECIS | SION | |
| This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on November Participants on behalf of Claimant included Department of Human Services (Department) included | for a hearing. 1, 2012, from . Parti | After due notice, a |
| ISSUE | | |
| Did the Department properly $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $ | application 🛛 cl | ose Claimant's case |
| | | ssistance (SDÁ)? |
| FINDINGS OF F | <u>ACT</u> | |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material fac | • | rial, and substantial |
| Claimant applied for benefits Independence Program (FIP), South Food Assistance Program (FIP), Medical Assistance (Matter). | Adult Medical AP), ☐ State □ | Assistance (AMP), Disability Assistance |

On September 16, 2011, the Department \square denied Claimant's application \boxtimes closed Claimant's case due to the Claimant having exceeded the

lifetime limit of federally funded FIP benefits.

2.

| 3. | On August 2, 2012, the Department notified the Claimant that FIP benefits would close due to non-cooperation with the Office of Child Support. | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| 4. | On September 16, 2011, the Department sent ∑ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ∑ closure. | | |
| 5. | On January 5, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \square denial of the application. \boxtimes closure of the case. | | |
| CONCLUSIONS OF LAW | | | |
| • | policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the bility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). | | |
| Responsibilit 42 USC 601 Agency) adn through Rule | ily Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal cy and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ninisters FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 et 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ober 1, 1996. | | |
| program] is implemented Regulations | d Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is I by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ninisters FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 e 400.3015. | | |
| Security Act The Departr | ical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). nent of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ministers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL | | |
| | ult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq. | | |
| for disabled Services (for | e Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human merly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA suant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule | | |
| - | the Department failed to offer sufficient evidence or testimony to establish ly applied policy to the Claimant's circumstances. | | |

2

| Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly denied Claimant's application, improperly denied Claimant's application, properly closed Claimant's case, improperly closed Claimant's case for: AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC. |
|--|
| DECISION AND ORDER |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly. |
| Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record. |
| THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER: |
| 1. Initiate a determination of the Claimant's eligibility for Family Independence Program (FIP) as of October 1, 2012, and each month since then. |
| 2. Provide the Claimant with a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) indicating the Department's revised eligibility determination. |
| Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she may be eligible to receive, if any. |
| |
| /s/ Kevin Scully Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services Date Signed: November 1, 2012 |

Date Mailed: November 1, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/tb

CC:

