STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEL ARTIMENT OF HOMAR SERVICES			
IN THE MATTER OF:			
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-28430 2006 May 30, 2012 Oakland (03)	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane			
HEARING DECIS	ION		
This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 30, 2012, from Detroit, Mich igan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the claimant and Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Servic es (Department) included			
<u>ISSUE</u>			
		lid the Department reduce Claimant's	
		ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon — the competent, material, and substantia—l evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:			
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for ☐ was receiving: ☐FI	P □FAP ⊠MA [□SDA □CDC.	

2. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by January 3, 2012.

3. On January 6, 2012, the Department

2012-28430/MJB

	denied Claimant's application. closed Claimant's case. reduced Claimant's benefits .
	January 6, 2012, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
	January 13, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	tment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges lity Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Respoi 42 US(Agency 3131.	e Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal ensibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, C 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence by) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-FI P replace detection to Depe ndent Children (ADC) program effective er 1, 1996.
prograi implem Regula Agency	e Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) mm] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is nented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FAP pur suant to MCL 400. 10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 2001-3015
Securit	e Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ia ty Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). epartment (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for disa as the	e State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance abled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known F amily Independence Agency) administ ers the SDA program pursuant to M CLD, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.
and XX	e Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE X of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996

The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. T he Department provides servic es to adult s and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, the evidence shows that the claima nt failed to comply with the department's request for verifications. The clai mant testified that she "didn't know" why she had failed to respond to the department's request for verification.

The client must obtain required verification, but you must assist if they need and request help.

If neither the client nor you can obtain verification despite a reasonable effort, use the best available information. If no evidence is available, use your best judgment. (BAM 130, p. 3).

In the instant case, there does not appear to have been a reasonable effort on the part of the claimant to obtain the requested verifications.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department ☑ properly ☑ improperly		
☐ closed Claimant's case. ☑ denied Claimant's application. ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.		
DECISION AND ORDER		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did not act properly.		
Accordingly, the Depar $$ tment's decision is $$ $$ $$ AFFIRMED $$ $$ $$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.		

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 18, 2012

Date Mailed: June 18, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/cl

