STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012278 Issue No.: 3008

Case No.:

Hearing Date: October 24, 2011

County: Wayne County DHS (35)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 24, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Fig. FIS.

ISSUE

Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department

properly ☐ deny Claimant's application ☒ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
\square	Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:				
1.	Claimant \square applied for \boxtimes was receiving:	□FIP ☑FAP □MA □SDA □CDC.		
2.	Claimant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with	n a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503).		
3.	On 9/1/11 , the Department denied Claimant's application			

☐ closed Claimant's case☐ reduced Claimant's benefitsfor failure to submit verification in a timely manner.		
4. The Claimant received the verification checklist but was unable to provide a pay strand did not timely advised the Department that she needed assistance or extension of time to respond to the verification.		
 5. On 8/9/11, the Department sent notice of the ☐ denial of Claimant's application. ☐ closure of Claimant's case. ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits. 		
 On8/16/11, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the		
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).		
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.		
☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (F program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independent Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rt 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.	iś ral ce	
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Soc Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFF The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.	₹).	
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistant for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MC 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.	٧n	

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.			
Additionally, because the Claimant received the Verification Checklist, the Claimant was required to provide proof that her employment had ended, or a paystub by the due date. Because the Claimant did not provide the information, or otherwise advise the Department that she could not obtain a paystub online, the Department properly closed her food assistance case. Clients are required to provide information so the Department can determine the correct amount of food assistance. The Department automatically closes a case under this circumstance when no response of any kind is received. The Department correctly closed the case based upon the best information available to it at the time. BAM115. Under these facts the Department correctly closed the Claimant's food assistance case.			
At the hearing it was established that the Claimant currently receives medical assistance and that part of her hearing request did not require a decision, as the issue regarding medical assistance was resolved and therefore it is not required to be decided and is dismissed.			
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department \square properly \square improperly			
☐ closed Claimant's case.☐ denied Claimant's application.☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.			
DECISION AND ORDER			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.			
Accordingly, the Department's decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.			
The Claimant's request for hearing regarding medical assistance is DISMISSED.			
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:			

Lynn M. Ferris
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 25, 2011

Date Mailed: October 25, 2011

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/hw

