## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		Reg. No: Issue No:	201227715 2001, 2014
		Case No: Hearing Date: Wayne County	May 15, 2012
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt			
HEARING DECISION			
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 15, 2012 from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included partment of Human Services (Department) included and and and and and and and and and an			
<u>ISSUE</u>			
Due to excess income, did the Department properly close Claimant's benefits for the Adult Medical Program (AMP)?			
	FINDINGS OF FAC	<u> </u>	
I find as material fact, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record:			
1.	Claimant		al Program (AMP). Assistance (SDA)
2.	On January 1, 2012, the Department close excess income.	ed the Claimant's	s AMP case due to
3.	On November 28, 2012, the Department sent the Claimant a notice of case action in regards to the AMP closure.		
3.	On January 5, 2012, Claimant or Claima protesting the AMP closure.	ant's AHR filed	a hearing request,

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.* Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

In this case, the Department failed to provide the necessary documentation or testimony necessary to show how the Department determined the Claimant's ongoing eligibility for AMP benefits. Therefore, I was unable to determine whether the Department acted in accordance with the applicable laws and policies in determining the Claimant's eligibility for AMP benefits.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, I conclude the Department improperly determined the Claimant's AMP eligibility.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

I find based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Department did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's AMP decision is **REVERSED** for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Initiate a redetermination as to the Claimant's eligibility for AMP benefits beginning January 1, 2012 and issue retroactive benefits if otherwise qualified and eligible.

<u>/s/</u>

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 15, 2012

Date Mailed: May 16, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing MAY be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## CAA/cr

