STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-2751 Issue Nos.: 1000, 3000

Case No.:

Hearing Date: November 9, 2011
County: Wayne (82-49)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 9, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Whether the	Department	properly:
-------------	------------	-----------

	denied Claimant's application for benefits
	closed Claimant's case for Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits?
\times	reduced Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	On September 30, 2011, the Department:
	☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for FIP benefits
	⊠ reduced Claimant's FAP benefits

2.	On September 30, 2011, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:
	☐ denial ☐ closure of FIP ☐ reduction of FAP.
3.	On September 30, 2011, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Eligibi	tment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges lity Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Manual (ERM).
Respo 42 US Agend throug	e Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal possibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, SC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ph Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ve October 1, 1996.
progra impler Regul Agend	ne Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) am] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is mented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 2001 through Rule 400.3015.
Secur The D	e Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social ity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 05.
	ne Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is istered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for dis Service progra	e State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance sabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human res (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA am pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through 400.3180.

and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of
1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.
The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98
and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL
400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
☐ The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The
SER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and by 1999 AC, Rule
400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department policies are found in the State
Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: reinstate Claimant's FIP and FAP benefits at the appropriate benefit levels, delete any penalties imposed upon him as a result of alleged noncompliance with the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) program, and provide retroactive supplemental and ongoing FIP and FAP benefits to Claimant at the correct benefit levels. Claimant's benefit levels shall be calculated including the current required Federal deductions for heat and utilities.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. Reinstate Claimant's FIP and FAP benefits at the benefit levels to which Claimant is entitled;
- 2. Initiate procedures to provide Claimant with retroactive supplemental and ongoing FIP and FAP benefits at the levels to which he is entitled;

3. Initiate procedures to delete all penalties imposed on Claimant as a result of alleged noncompliance with the JET program.

4. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan Leventer inistrative Law Judo

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Jan

Date Signed: November 10, 2011

Date Mailed: November 10, 2011

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JL / pf

