#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

20122738 3021

November 10, 2011 Oakland County DHS (02)

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 10, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included

### **ISSUE**

Due to excess assets, did the Department properly deny the Claimant's application Close Claimant's case for:

ĺ	X
ł	

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including the testimony at the hearing, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant applied for benefits received benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP).

Food Assistance Program (FAP). Medical Assistance (MA). Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).

State Disability Assistance (SDA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

- Due to excess assets, on October 1, 2011, the Department
   ☐ denied Claimant's application.
   ☐ closed Claimant's case.
- On September 21, 2011, the Department sent
   ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) ☐ denial. ☐ closure.
- 4. On 9/24/11, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the  $\Box$  denial of the application.  $\boxtimes$  closure of the case.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

Additionally, the Department used asset information supplied by the Claimant in October 2011 pursuant to a verification requested by the Department and determined that the Claimant's assets exceeded \$5,000 and closed the Claimant's FAP case. The

Department relied on a bank statement for September 2011 and a statement of stock owned. Exhibit 1.

BEM 400 was recently revised and instituted an asset limit of \$5,000 for recipients of FAP benefits. It also provides instruction on how to determine if assets exceed the asset limit. It provides:

Determine asset eligibility prospectively using the asset group's assets from the benefit month. Asset eligibility exists when the group's countable assets are less than, or equal to, the applicable asset limit **at least one day during the month being tested.** BEM 400, p. 3.

After reviewing the Claimant's checking account information used by the Department when making its determination, it is determined that on September 15, 2011 the Claimant's account balance was \$574.71 and the stock he owned on that date was valued at \$1,218.82. These two sums total \$1,793.53 and are below the \$5, 000 asset limits, and thus the Claimant's assets did not disqualify his FAP group for exceeding the \$5,000 limit. BEM 400 requires that for at least one day during the month, the applicable limit must be met.

Based upon these circumstances it is determined that the Department improperly closed the Claimant's FAP case. Clearly a review of the policy indicates the Department erred in that it did not look at the daily balances to determine if at any point during September 2011 the Claimant met the asset limitation. The Department's decision is in error and not in accordance with Department policy.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess assets, the Department

properly denied Claimant's application	improperly denied Claimant's application
properly closed Claimant's case	improperly closed Claimant's case

for: for:  $\Box$  AMP  $\Box$  FIP  $\boxtimes$  FAP  $\Box$  MA  $\Box$  SDA  $\Box$  CDC.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department i did act properly. i did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's	$AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP$	CDC decision
is 🗌 AFFIRMED 🖾 REVERSED.		

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. The Department is ordered to initiate reinstatement of the Claimant's FAP case retroactive to the date of closure, October 1, 2011.
- 2. The Department shall issue a supplement to the Claimant for any FAP benefits he is otherwise eligible to receive, if any, in accordance with Department policy.

Lynn F. Ferris

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>11/15/11</u>

Date Mailed: <u>11/15/11</u>

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/hw

CC:

2012-2738/LMF

