STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 20122736

Issue No.: 3019 Case No.:

Hearing Date: November 7, 2011

County: Wayne County DHS (31)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 7, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Execution, ES.

ISSUE

Due to excess assets, did the Department ∣ ☑ close Claimant's case for:	properly deny the Claimant's application
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including the testimony at the hearing, finds as material fact:

1.	Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ receive	ed benefits for:
	Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP).	Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). State Disability Assistance (SDA)

	☐ Medical Assistance (MA).☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).
2.	Due to excess assets, on October 1, 2011, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application. ☐ closed Claimant's case.
3.	On September 20, 2011, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On September 26, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges igibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence gency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 rough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program fective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence gency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 1999 AC, Rule 10.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social ecurity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

Additionally, the Department used asset information supplied by the Claimant in October 2010, when his case was opened, and used a mid certification review in February 2011 to determine that the Claimant's assets had not changed and closed the Claimant's case due to excess assets. The Department closed the Claimant's case due to its determination that the Claimant's assets exceeded the \$5,000 FAP asset limitation. BEM 400. In October 2010 the Department had no asset limit for FAP benefits. The Department relied on old stale information and closed the Claimant's case. The Claimant testified, under oath, at the hearing that his assets in October consisted of \$\$3,770.77 in his credit union account and \$159 in his checking account. The information the Department relied upon was not the best available information and the assets should have been updated and verified before the closure. The Department relied upon a mid certification review that indicated no change in assets in a box that was only to be filled out by medicaid recipients. Clearly this information does not accurately reflect FAP assets in October 2011.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess assets, the Department
☐ properly denied Claimant's application ☐ improperly denied Claimant's application ☐ properly closed Claimant's case ☐ improperly closed Claimant's case
for: for: AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

1. The Department is ordered to initiate reinstatement of the Claimant's FAP case retroactive to the date of closure, October 1, 2011.

THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 2. The Department may seek further verification of assets to determine the Claimant's FAP eligibility.
- 3. The Claimant shall have 10 days to respond to any verification of assets sought by the Department.
- 4. The Department shall issue a supplement to the Claimant for any FAP benefits he is otherwise eligible to receive in accordance with Department policy.

Lynn F. Ferris
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: November 8, 2011

Date Mailed: November 8, 2011

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/hw

cc: