STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

	_	
		_

Reg. No.: 2012-27165

Issue No.: 2021

Case No.:

Hearing Date: May 10, 2012 County: Wayne (35)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 10, 2012, from Detroit, Mich igan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Due to excless assets, did the Department pro ☐ close Claimant's case for:	operly 🛚 deny the Claimant's app lication
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
FINDINGS C	OF FACT
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t evidence on the whole record, i ncluding the to fact:	he competent, material, and substantial est imony at the hearing, finds as material
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for benefits □ receive	ed benefits for:
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). State Disability Assistance (SDA).
2. Due to excess assets on November 22. 20	011 the Department

denied Claimant's application. Closed Claimant's case.

3. On November 22, 2011, the Department sent

☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure.
 On December 12, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ia Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.
☐ The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
Additionally, the asset limit for a couple for MA is \$3,000.00. The claimant had well over the limit allowed.
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess assets, the Department
 ☑ properly denied Claimant's application ☐ properly closed Claimant's case ☐ improperly closed Claimant's case
for: AMP FIP MA SDA.

DECISION AND ORDER

of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly. did act properly. did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes MA \square SDA decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 17, 2012

Date Mailed: May 17, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/cl

2012-27165/MJB

