## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES



Reg No. 2012-27160 Issue No.: 2000, 4000 Case No.: Hearing Date: March 21, 2012 Wayne County DHS (19)

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

## HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducte d from Detroit, Michigan on Wednesday, March 21, 2012. The Claimant appear ed and testified. Department of Human Services ("Department").

## **ISSUE**

Whether the Department proper ly determined that the Claimant was not disabled for purposes of the Medical Assistance ("MA-P") and St ate Disability Assistance ("SDA") benefit programs?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant submitted an application for public assistance seeking MA-P and SDA benefits on May 18, 2011.
- 2. The Claim ant applied for dis ability benefits through the Social Sec urity Administration ("SSA") on June 1, 2011.
- 3. The SSA found the Claimant not disabled.
- 4. On September 19, 2011, the Medical Review Team ("MRT") found the Claimant not disabled. (Exhibit 1, pp. 4, 5)

### 2012-27160/CMM

- 5. On September 24, 2011, the Department notified the Claimant of the MRT determination.
- 6. On December 15, 2011, the Department received the Claimant's timely written request for hearing. (Exhibit 1, p. 2)
- 7. On February 23, 2012, the State H earing Review Team ("SHRT") found the Claimant not disabled. (Exhibit 2)
- 8. More than 60 days have lapsed since the SSA determination.
- 9. The Claimant did not appeal the SSA determination.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance program is established by Subchapter XIX of Chapter 7 of The Public Health & Welfare Act, 42 USC 1397, and is administered by the Department of Human Services pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et. seq.* The Department of Human Services, formerly known as the Family Independence A gency, administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.* and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department al policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), t he Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Tables ("RFT").

The disability standard for both disab ility-related MA and SSI is the same. BEM 260; BEM 271. When the SSA d etermines that a client is not disab led/blind for SSI purposes, the client may appe al that determination at SSA. BEM 260. The SSA Appeals Process consists of three steps:

- 1. Reconsideration (if initial application filed prior to October 1, 1999)
- 2. Hearing
- 3. Appeals Council

BEM 260. The client has 60 days from the date he receives a denial notic e to appeal an SSA ac tion. BEM 260; BEM 271. An SSA determination becomes final when no further appeals may be made at SSA. BEM 260. Once an SSA determination that a disability or blindness does not exist becomes final, the MA case must be closed. BEM 260, BEM 271.

In the record presented, the SSA found the Claimant not disabled. More than 60 day s have lapsed since the denial and the Claim ant has not alleged any new disabling impairment(s) nor has he asserted that his condi tion has further deteriorated. The SSA determination was for the same time period and imp airment(s) at i ssue. Ultimately,

2012-27160/CMM

because the SSA decision was not appealed, it became binding on the Claimant's MA-P case. In light of the foregoing, the Department's determination is AFFIRMED.

The State Disability Assist ance program, which pr ovides fin ancial assistance for disabled persons, was established by 2004 PA 344. The Depa rtment administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151 – 400.3180. Department policie s are found in BAM, BEM, and RFT. A person is considered disabled for SDA purposes if the person has a phys ical or menta I impariment which m eets federal SSI dis ability standards for at least ninety days. Receipt of SSI or RSDI benefit s based on disability or blindness, or the receipt of MA benefits b ased on disab ility or blindness s, aut omatically qualifies an in dividual as disabled for purposes of the SDA program.

In this cas e, the Claimant is found not di sabled for purposes of the MA-P program; therefore, the Claimant is found not disabled for purposes of the SDA benefit program

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law finds the Claimant not disabled for purposes of the MA-P and SDA benefit programs.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

The Department's determination is AFFIRMED.

Collein M. Mamilka

Colleen M. Mamelka Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 22, 2012

Date Mailed: March 22, 2012

### 2012-27160/CMM

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### CMM/cl

Re

