STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES



Reg No.: 2012-2715 Issue No.: 2026 Case No.: Hearing Date: April 19, 2012 Wayne County DHS (19)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on Thur sday, April 19, 2012. The Claimant appeared and test ified. appeared on behalf of the Department of Human Services . observed the proceedings.

ISSUE

Whether the Depart ment properly terminat ed the Claimant's Tr ansitional Medical Assistance ("TMA") resulting in Medical Assistance ("MA") coverage with a deductible?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant received MA assistance under the TMA program.
- The Claimant's actual gross earnings for June 2011 were \$691. 88. (Exhibits 2, 3)
- 3. After 12 months, the Department closed the TMA coverage and approved the Claimant for MA under the deductible program effective September 1, 2011. (Exhibit 1)

- 4. The Claimant's MA deductible effective September 1, 2011 was \$71.00. (Exhibit 4)
- 5. On July 13, 2011, the Department notified the Claimant of the closure of the TMA benefits and the approval for MA under the deductible pr ogram effective September 1, 2011. (Exhibit 5)
- 6. In September 2011, the Department received the Claimant's timely written request for hearing. (Exhibit 6)

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance ("MA") program is established by t he Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the Code of F ederal Regulations. T he Department of Human Services, formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency, administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400. 10, *et seq*., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Br idges Administ rative Manual ("BAM"), th e Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Tables ("RFT").

The goal of the Medicaid program is to ensure that essentia I health care s ervices are made available to those who otherwise could not afford them. BEM 105. Medicaid is also known as Medical Ass istance. BEM 105. The Medicaid program is comprised of several categories; one category is for FIP recipients while another is for SSI recipients. BEM 105. A fiscal group is es tablished for each person requesting MA and budgetable income is determined for each fis cal group member. BEM 536. A multi-step process is utilized when determining a fiscal group member's income. BEM 536.

In general, the terms Group 1 and Group 2 relate to financial eligibi lity factors. BEM 105. For Group 1, net income (countable income minus allowable income deductions) must be at or below a certain income limit for eligibility to exist. BEM 105. The income limit, which varies by category, is for non-medical needs such as food and shelter. BEM 105. Medical e xpenses are not used when n determining eligibility for FIP-related and SSI-related Group 1 categories. BEM 105. For Group 2, eligibility is possible when net income exceeds the income limit. BEM 105. This is because inc urred medica I expenses are used when determining eligibility for FIP-related Group 2 Categories. BEM 105.

LIF and T MA are FI P-related Gr oup 1 MA categories. BEM 11 1. TMA eligibility is considered only after LIF cover age ends. months when ineligibility for LIF relates to BEM 111. Group 2, caretaker relative MA relatives provided eligibility factors are me eligibility exists for the calendar month te

allowable medical expenses that equal or exceed excess income. BEM 545. The fiscal group's monthly excess income is called a deductible e amount. BEM 545. Deductible is a process which allows a client with excess income to become elig ible for Group 2 MA if sufficient a llowable medical expenses are incurred. BEM 545. Each calendar month is a separat e deductible period. BEM 545. The group must report expenses by the last day of the third month fo llowing the month it seeks MA coverage for. BEM 545.

All countable earned and unearned income available to the client must be considered in determining the Claimant's eligibi lity for program benefits. BEM 500. Prospective income is income not yet received but ex pected. BEM 505. Pr ospective budgeting is the best estimate of the client's future income for future ben efits. BEM 505. All income is converted to a monthly amount. BEM 505. A standard mont hly amount must be determined for each income source used in the budget. BEM 505. Weekly benefit amounts are converted to a mont hly amount by multiplying t he weekly amount by 4.3. BEM 505. Bi-week ly amounts are converted by multiplying the amount by 2.15. BEM 505.

In this cas e, the Claimant initially had MA coverage under the Low Income Family ("LIF"). The Claimant began working so the Department changed the Claimant's MA program to the TMA program, which was available for 12 months, effective September 2010. As the TMA was approaching the maximum 12 month period, the Department determined the Claimant's eligibility under the Group 2 program. The Claimant's actual gross earnings for June 2011 wer e \$691.88. The Claimant's weekly inc ome fluctuates so for purposes of determining eligibility, the gross income is divid ed by 4 and then multiplied by 4.13 (see prospe ctive budgeting abov e) to arrive at a monthly gross earnings figure of \$743.77. To determine the deductible, BEM 536 requires that \$120.00 is deducted from the mont hly gross earnings figure and then 1/3 of that figure is subtracted to arrive at \$416.00 (rounded). Next, child support is added less \$50.00 (\$243.00 - \$50.00 = \$193.00 + 416.00 = \$609.00). After this point, the number of dependents (under the age of 18) living with the fiscal group m ember is determined. BEM 536. This number is added to 2.9 to determine the prorate divisor. The \$609.00 is then divided by the prorate divisor (3.9) to determine the fiscal group member's income. BEM 536. (\$609.00/3.9 = \$156.00). This number (which is off by \$2.00 likely due to rounding) is the Adult's Prorated Income.

To determined the "Adult's share of adult's own Income" the \$154.00 (from Exhibit 4) is multiplied by 2.9 to arrive at \$446.00. Finally, the \$375. 00 income limit (RFT 240) is subtracted from the \$446.00 to arrive at the \$71.00 deductible. In light of the foregoing, the Department's MA determination is AFFIRMED.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law finds the Depar tment establis hed it acted in accordance with policy when determining the Claimant's MA eligibility.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

The Department's deductible determination is AFFIRMED.

Colleen M. Mamilka

Colleen M. Mamelka Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 24, 2012

Date Mailed: April 24, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Re Michigan Administrative Hearings consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CMM/cl

