STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-27062 2006 May 16, 2012 Wayne (15)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane		
HEARING DECISION		
This matter is before the undersigned Administrand MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request telephone hearing was held on May 16, 2012, behalf of Claimant included	t for a hearing. Afte	r due notice, a
Department of Human Services (Department) in		s on behalf of the
ISSUE		
Due to a failure to comply with the ve rificat properly ☐ deny Claimant's application ☒ clos benefits for:	ion requirements, on the contract of the contr	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon tevidence on the whole record, including testimon	•	•
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☐]FIP □FAP ⊠MA	□SDA □CDC.
 On November 30, 2011, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application. ☐ closed Claimant's case. 		

reduced Claimant's benefits .
 3. On November 25, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of claimant's application. ☐ closure of Claimant's case. ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits.
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-3131. FI P replace detective Aid to Depe ndent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [form erly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pur suant to MCL 400. 10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R400.3001-3015
\square The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ia Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.
The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adult and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, the Department's closure of the claimant's MA due to lack of a response to the Department's redetermination is not backed by any evidence other than the

Department's testimony. This being the case the Department has failed to support its decision to close the claimant's MA on November 30, 2011.

The claimant was an SSI recipient and became a RSDI recipient through his father.

There is no documentation that the Department explored the ramifications of this fact or the fact that the claimant is a Disabled Adult Child (DAC).

Department policy demands certain departmental actions when SSI is terminated.

SSI TERMINATIONS

When SSI benefits stop, centra I office evaluates the reason bas ed on SSA's negative action code, then does one of the following:

SSI Closur e. MA-SSI is closed in Bridges if SSI stopped for a reason that prevents continued MA eligibility (for example, death, moved out of state). Bridges sends the recipient a DHS-1605.

Transfer to SSIT. SSI cases not closed due to the policy abov e are transferred to the SSI Termination (SSIT) Type of Assistance. A redetermination date is set for the second month after transfer to allow for an ex parte review; see glossary.

Local Office Responsibilities for Cases Transferred to SSIT

Based on current circumstances, determine whether the client qualifies for MA under:

MA While Appealing Disability Termination in this item, or

Any other MA category; see BEM 105.

An ex parte review (see glossary) is required before Medicaid closures when there is an actual or anticipated c hange, unless the change would re sult in clos ure due to ineligibility for all Medicaid. When possible, an ex parte review should begin at least 90 days before the anticipated change is expected to result in c ase closure. The review includes consideration of all MA categories; see BAM 115 and 220.

When an SSI-T EDG is set in Bridges, the specialist will receive the following Task/Reminder (T/R): Send DHS-1171 to client as Medicaid Transitional SSI case newly Certified. The T/R has a 15 day due date. On or before the Task/Reminder due date the specialist should mail a redeter mination packet to the client and authorized representative. The redetermination packet should include the DHS-1171 Assistance Application and the Word version of the DHS-3503 Verification Checklist. The specialist should mark the verifications required for Medicaid on the DHS-3503.

Process the application through Initiate In terview, Intake, in Bridges. Generate the appropriate disability forms. Do not require an update d or new a pplication form when you know eligibility exists under MA While Appealing Disability Termination in this item. Complete the review during the second month of the SSI-T. Document all f actors in the case record, including disability and blindness.

If continued MA eligibility does not exist, use standard negative action procedures.

MA While Appealing Disability Termination

MA eligibility continues for an individual who:

Has been t erminated from SSI because he is no longer consider ed disabled or blind, and

See BEM 260 about SSI denial codes.

Has filed an appeal of the termination with SSA within SSA's 60-day time limit, and See BEM 260 for information about the SSA appeal process and appeal codes. Is a Michigan resident.

Other eligibility factors such as income, a ssets and third party resource liab ility are not an issue. MA eligibility continues until the person: Exhausts his SSA appeal rights, or Fails to file an appeal at any step within SSA's 60-day time limit, or Is no longer a Michigan resident. (BEM 150, p. 5-6) In the instant case the Department did not fo llow the above procedure. In addition, a t the hearing the claimant testified that SSI benefits had been reinstated. Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly | improperly Closed Claimant's case. denied Claimant's application. reduced Claimant's benefits. **DECISION AND ORDER** The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly did not act properly. Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record. THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER: 1. Reopen the claimant's MA back to its closure or November 30, 2011. Additionally, the Department shall determine the claim ant's status as a DAC and address the issues that arise if he is found to be a DAC and those that arise by reason of his having been terminated for SSI by reason of his RSDI determination. Department policy for the latter is spelled out in detail above. Michael J. Bennane Administrative Law Judge

Date Signed: May 29, 2012

Date Mailed: May 29, 2012

for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services **NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/cl

