## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF.	Issue No: 2012 Case No: 2012				
	Hearing Date: April 24, 2012 Kalkaska County DHS				
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully					
HEARING I	DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Admin and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's req telephone hearing was held on Tuesday, Participants on behalf of Claimant included Department of Human Services (Department	uest for a hearing. After due notice, a April 24, 2012, from Lansing, Michigan.  . Participants on behalf of				
<u>ISSUE</u>					
Did the Department properly $oxed{\boxtimes}$ deny Claim for:	nant's application				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	<ul><li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?</li><li>☑ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?</li><li>☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?</li></ul>				
FINDINGS OF FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:					
Family Independence Program	penefits				
	artment  denied Claimant's application of the determination at an Administrative , that the Claimant is not disabled.				

	ebruary 15, 2012, the I rized Representative (AR)				nt's
	ebruary 27, 2012, Clain in all of the application.			rotesting	the
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW					
	olicies are contained in t ity Manual (BEM), and the	_		• •	the
Responsibility a 42 USC 601, a Agency) admin	Independence Program and Work Opportunity Reet seq. The Department histers FIP pursuant to Moleon 100.3131. FIP replaced to the 1, 1996.	econciliation Act (formerly known CL 400.10, et se	of 1996, Public I as the Family I $q$ ., and 1999 AC	Law 104-1 ndepende 5, R 400.3	93, nce 101
program] is e implemented b Regulations (C	Assistance Program (FA stablished by the Food by the federal regulations CFR). The Department (histers FAP pursuant to M 00.3015.	Stamp Act of contained in Ti formerly known	1977, as amer tle 7 of the Cod as the Family I	nded, and de of Fedende ndepende	l is eral nce
Security Act and The Departme	al Assistance (MA) progrand is implemented by Title ent of Human Services (nisters the MA program	42 of the Code formerly known	of Federal Regul as the Family I	ations (CF ndepende	R). nce
	Medical Program (AMF y the Department pursuan	- T	=	1315, and	l is
for disabled per Services (former	Disability Assistance (SDA) ersons, is established by erly known as the Family ant to MCL 400.10, et se	2004 PA 344. Independence	The Departme Agency) adminis	ent of Hun sters the S	nan SDA
and XX of the 1990, and the F The program is and 99. The	Development and Care (Construction Security Act, the Personal Responsibility are implemented by Title 45 Department provides sero 1999 AC, R 400.5001 thro	Child Care and downward Core Code of the Code of vices to adults a	Development B nity Reconciliation Federal Regulation and children purs	lock Gran n Act of 19 ons, Parts	t of 996. 98

Additionally, the Department denied the application for benefits that the Claimant submitted on November 18, 2011, based on the results of an Administrative Hearing held on November 17, 2011. The Department's denial of an application submitted on June 10, 2011, was affirmed on February 6, 2011.

However, the Department's representative was unable to identify any policy authorizing the denial of the November 18, 2011, application for assistance based on the results of the November 17, 2011, hearing.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case for:  AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC.			
DECISION AND ORDER			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.			
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision S AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.			
☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:			
1. The Department shall initiate a determination of the Claimant's eligibility for Medical Assistance (MA) and State Disability Assistance (SDA) by processing the Claimant's application for benefits that he submitted on November 18, 2011 in accordance with Department policy.			
2. Provide the Claimant with written notification of the Department's revised eligibility determination.			
3. Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits he may be eligible to receive, if any.			
/s/ Kevin Scully Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services			
Date Signed: April 25, 2012			
Date Mailed: April 25, 2012			

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## KS/tb

CC:

