STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		Reg. No: Issue No:	201226799 3008
		Case No: Hearing Date SSPC-WEST	
ADMINISTR	ATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scul	ly	
	HEARING DI	<u>ECISION</u>	
and MCL 4 telephone he Participants	is before the undersigned Administration 00.37 following Claimant's requieuring was held on Thursday, Fe on behalf of Claimant included of Human Services (Department)	est for a hearing. bruary 16, 2012, fror . Par	After due notice, a
	<u>ISSU</u>	<u>E</u>	
	ailure to comply with the verific deny Claimant's application ☐ clo		
	ndependence Program (FIP)? sistance Program (FAP)? Assistance (MA)?		Assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
	FINDINGS C	OF FACT	
	strative Law Judge, based upon the whole record, including testim		•
1.	Claimant ⊠ applied for ☐ was ☐CDC.	receiving:	⊠FAP □MA □SDA
2.	Claimant ⊠ was □ was not (DHS-3503).	provided with a \	/erification Checklist
3.	Claimant was required to December 29, 2011.	submit requeste	ed verification by
4.	On January 3, 2012, the Depart closed Claimant's case rec submit verification in a timely ma	duced Claimant's be	

201226799/KS

5.	On January 3, 2012, the Department sent notice of the \boxtimes denial of Claimant's application. \square closure of Claimant's case. \square reduction of Claimant's benefits.						
6.	On January 19, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \boxtimes denial. \square closure. \square reduction.						
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW							
	policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges nual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).						
Responsibilit 42 USC 601, Agency) adn through Rule	Ily Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal y and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ninisters FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 e 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ober 1, 1996.						
program] is implemented Regulations	d Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ninisters FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 400.3015.						
Security Act The Departm	ical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). nent (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.						
for disabled as the Famil	e Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known y Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL eq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.						
and XX of the state of the program and 99. The 400.14(1) and Based upon stated on the properly [Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE he Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. It is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 to Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL d 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015. The above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department improperly closed Claimant's case. denied Claimant's application. Claimant's benefits.						

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, bas of Law, and for the reasons s ⊠ did act properly. ☐ did not act	stated on the	•			
Accordingly, the Department's department's department on the record.	ecision is 🖂	AFFIRMED [REVERS	ED for	the
		/s/			
		Ad For M	l ministrative aura Corrig ent of Hum	an, Dire	dge ctor
Date Signed: February 21, 2012	<u> </u>				
Date Mailed: February 21, 2012					

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

201226799/KS

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/tb

CC:

