

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No: 2012-26507  
Issue No: 1038  
Case No: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: March 7, 2012  
County: Calhoun

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** C. Adam Purnell

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing received on January 17, 2012. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 7, 2012. Participants on behalf of Claimant included [REDACTED]. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED]

**ISSUE**

Whether the Department properly terminated and sanctioned Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits for noncompliance with Work First/Jobs, Education and Training (WF/JET) requirements?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant was a mandatory WF/JET participant.
2. On August 15, 2011, Claimant, as part of her required WF/JET program, agreed to report to JET 20 hours of job search activities per week.
3. On December 4, 2011, Claimant indicated on her job lead sheets that she had applied for employment at [REDACTED]. Claimant's job lead sheet indicated she spend 22 (twenty-two) hours on December 4, 2011 applying for employment online.

4. According to [REDACTED], Claimant applied for employment on December 5, 2011.
5. Claimant's job lead sheet falsely indicated that she applied for employment in November, 2011 at [REDACTED] in [REDACTED] and that she spoke with an employee named [REDACTED]. There is no employee named [REDACTED] who works at the [REDACTED] on [REDACTED] and there was no evidence that Claimant applied for employment at this [REDACTED] Rd in [REDACTED] at this time.
6. On December 14, 2011, the Department mailed Claimant a Notice of Noncompliance (DHS-2444) because she failed to participate as required in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities. Claimant's Triage appointment was scheduled for January 4, 2012 at 2:00p.m. The deadline for Claimant to show good cause was December 27, 2011. The notice indicated that failure to show good cause could result in a lifetime loss of FIP benefits.
7. On January 4, 2012, Claimant attended Triage and explained that on December 4, 2011 she completed all of her application online in one day and overnight (which totaled 22 hours of job search activity). Claimant also brought a handwritten note from [REDACTED]. After reviewing the handwritten note and contacting [REDACTED], the Department found Claimant did not show good cause for her noncompliance.
8. On January 11, 2012, the Department conducted a Lifetime Sanction Review (BEM 233A) and found that the most recent violation was Claimant's third episode of noncompliance with the WF/JET program. The document was signed by the Case Manager, JET Coordinator and Family Independence Manager.
9. The Department mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) on January 12, 2012, closing Claimant's FIP benefits for a lifetime penalty due to her third offense for failure to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities.
10. Claimant submitted a hearing request on January 17, 2012, protesting the closure of her FIP benefits.
11. This is Claimant's third non-compliance with the WF/JET program.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R

400.901-400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his claim for assistance is denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients have the right to contest a department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness. BAM 600.

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Reference Table Manual (RFT), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Department policy states that clients must be made aware that public assistance is limited to 48 months to meet their family's needs and that they must take personal responsibility to achieve self-sufficiency. BEM 229. This message, along with information on ways to achieve independence, direct support services, non-compliance penalties, and good cause reasons, is initially shared by the department when the client applies for cash assistance. BEM 229. The Jobs, Education and Training (JET) program requirements, education and training opportunities, and assessments are covered by the JET case manager when a mandatory JET participant is referred at application for FIP, when a client's reason for deferral ends, or a member add is requested. BEM 229.

Federal and State laws require each work eligible individual (WEI) in the FIP and Refugee Assistance Program (RAP) group to participate in the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment-related activities unless temporarily deferred or engaged in activities that meet participation requirements. These clients must participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities to increase their employability and obtain stable employment. WEIs not referred to the work participation program will participate in other activities to overcome barriers so they may eventually be referred to the work participation program or other employment service provider. BEM 230A.

The work participation program is administered by the Workforce Development Agency, State of Michigan (WDASOM) through the Michigan one-stop service centers. The work participation program serves employers and job seekers for employers to have skilled workers and job seekers to obtain jobs that provide economic self-sufficiency. BEM 230A. A WEI who refuses, without good cause, to participate in assigned employment and/or other self-sufficiency related activities is subject to penalties. BEM 230A.

An applicant, recipient or a member add is noncompliant if he or she, without good cause, fails or refuses to do any of the following: (1) appear and participate with the

Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment service provider; (2) complete a Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST), as assigned as the first step in the FSSP process; (3) develop a Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) or a Personal Responsibility Plan and Family Contract (PRPFC); (4) comply with activities assigned to on the Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP); (5) provide legitimate documentation of work participation; (6) appear for a scheduled appointment or meeting related to assigned activities; (7) participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities; (8) accept a job referral; (9) complete a job application; (10) appear for a job interview. BEM 233A.

Noncompliance also can be found if an applicant, recipient or a member add, without good cause, does any of the following: (1) states orally or in writing a definite intent not to comply with program requirements; (2) threatens, physically abuses or otherwise behaves disruptively toward anyone conducting or participating in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity; or (3) refuses employment support services if the refusal prevents participation in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity. BEM 233A.

JET participants will not be terminated from a JET program without first scheduling a “triage” meeting with the client to jointly discuss noncompliance and good cause. BEM 233A. The department coordinates the process to notify the MWA case manager of triage meetings including scheduling guidelines. BEM 233A.

Clients can either attend a meeting or participate in a conference call if attendance at the triage meeting is not possible. BEM 233A. If a client calls to reschedule an already scheduled triage meeting, the client is offered a telephone conference at that time. BEM 233A. Clients must comply with triage requirement within the negative action period. BEM 233A.

The department is required to send a DHS-2444, Notice of Employment and/or Self-Sufficiency Related Noncompliance within three days after learning of the noncompliance which must include the date of noncompliance, the reason the client was determined to be noncompliant, the penalty that will be imposed and the triage date within the negative action period. BEM 233A.

Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. A claim of good cause must be verified and documented for member adds and recipients. If it is determined at triage that the client has good cause, and good cause issues have been resolved, the client should be sent back to JET. BEM 233A. Good cause should be determined based on the best information available during the triage and prior to the negative action date. Good cause may be verified by information already on file with DHS or MWA. Good cause must be considered even if the client does not attend, with particular attention to possible disabilities (including disabilities that have not been diagnosed or identified by the client) and unmet needs for accommodation. BEM 233A.

The penalty for noncompliance without good cause is FIP closure. BEM 233A. Effective October 1, 2011, the following minimum penalties apply: (1) for the individual's first occurrence of noncompliance, FIP closure is for not less than three calendar months; (2) for the individual's second occurrence of noncompliance, FIP closure is for not less than six calendar months; (3) for the individual's third occurrence of noncompliance, FIP closure is a lifetime sanction. BEM 233A. The individual penalty counter begins April 1, 2007. Individual penalties served after October 1, 2011 will be added to the individual's existing penalty count. BEM 233A.

Depending on the case situation, penalties include the following: (1) delay in eligibility at application; (2) ineligibility (denial or termination of FIP with no minimum penalty period); (3) case closure for a minimum of three months for the first episode of noncompliance, six months for the second episode of noncompliance and **lifetime** closure for the third episode of noncompliance. BEM 233A.

The sanction period begins with the first pay period of a month. BEM 233A. Penalties are automatically calculated by the entry of noncompliance without good cause in the Department's computer system known as Bridges. This applies to active FIP cases, including those with a member add who is a WEI work participation program participant. BEM 233A.

Bridges applies noncooperation penalties at an individual level. BEM 233A. Two parent families will have two individual penalty counters. The FIP EDG penalty is applied based on the individual with the highest penalty counter. BEM 233A. In a two parent family, one parent has to reach his/her individual penalty count of three for the case to close for a lifetime sanction. BEM 233A.

In this matter, Claimant falsified her job search lead in November, 2011 when she indicated that she spoke with "██████" and applied for employment at ██████████ on ██████████. There was no evidence to support Claimant's contention that she applied for employment at this ██████████ at this time and spoke with a "Katie." There was no employee named ██████████ who was at that ██████████ during the time period in question. The Administrative Law Judge does not find her statements in this regard to be credible. In addition, this Administrative Law Judge also finds that Claimant incorrectly completed her online job search leads. This Administrative Law Judge does not believe that Claimant spend 22 (twenty-two) hours applying for employment in front of a computer online. These statements are simply not credible. It should be noted that the Department provided sufficient documentation in the record to show that Claimant previously had been found to have falsified her job search leads in September, 2009 when Claimant applied for employment at a business although the business had been closed. Claimant also failed to report to JET after her deferral ended in April, 2011. In the present case, the record sufficiently shows that Claimant both falsified her job search lead and improperly completed her online job search documents. Claimant was well aware of the WF/JET requirements and she chose to ignore them. Accordingly, the Department properly closed Claimant's FIP and properly imposed the FIP lifetime sanction for her third instance of noncompliance with the WF/JET program.

Accordingly, this Administrative Law Judge finds that, based on the material and substantial evidence presented during the hearing, Claimant has failed to show good cause for failing to complete her attendance and job search activities. As a result, the department properly closed Claimant's FIP case for non-compliance.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department properly closed Claimant's FIP case for noncompliance with WF/JET requirements and lifetime month sanction is AFFIRMED.

It is SO ORDERED.

/s/  
C. Adam Purnell  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 3/9/12

Date Mailed: 3/9/12

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error , or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

2012-26507/CAP

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAP/ds

